

ONE-STEP SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS OF THE PAIR ($SU(n+1), U(n)$)

INÉS PACHARONI AND JUAN TIRAO

Dedicated to our teacher and friend Joe Wolf.

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper is to determine all irreducible spherical functions of the pair $(G, K) = (SU(n+1), U(n))$, where the highest weight of their K -types π are of the form $(m+\ell, \dots, m+\ell, m, \dots, m)$. Instead of looking at a spherical function Φ of type π we look at a matrix-valued function H defined on a section of the K -orbits in an affine subvariety of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. The function H diagonalizes, hence it can be identified with a column vector-valued function. The irreducible spherical functions of type π turn out to be parameterized by $S = \{(w, r) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq w, 0 \leq r \leq \ell, 0 \leq m+w+r\}$. A key result to characterize the associated function $H_{w,r}$ is the existence of a matrix-valued polynomial function Ψ of degree ℓ such that $F_{w,r}(t) = \Psi(t)^{-1}H_{w,r}(t)$ becomes an eigenfunction of a matrix hypergeometric operator with eigenvalue $\lambda(w, r)$, explicitly given. In the last section we assume that $m \geq 0$ and define the matrix polynomial P_w as the $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrix whose r -row is the polynomial $F_{w,r}$. This leads to interesting families of matrix-valued orthogonal Jacobi polynomials $P_w^{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta > -1$.

Key words: Spherical functions

MSC 2010 Codes: aaa

1. SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

Let G be a locally compact unimodular group and let K be a compact subgroup of G . Let $\hat{K}$ denote the set of all equivalence classes of complex finite-dimensional irreducible representations of K ; for $\delta \in \hat{K}$, let ξ_δ denote the character of δ , $d(\delta)$ the degree of δ , i.e., the dimension of any representation in the class δ , and $\chi_\delta = d(\delta)\xi_\delta$. We choose the Haar measure dk on K normalized by $\int_K dk = 1$. We shall denote by V a finite-dimensional vector space over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers and by $\text{End}(V)$ the space of all linear transformations of V into V .

A *spherical function* Φ on G of type $\delta \in \hat{K}$ is a continuous function on G with values in $\text{End}(V)$ such that

- (i) $\Phi(e) = I$ (I = identity transformation).
- (ii) $\Phi(x)\Phi(y) = \int_K \chi_\delta(k^{-1})\Phi(xky) dk$, for all $x, y \in G$.

If $\Phi : G \longrightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a spherical function of type δ , then $\Phi(kgk') = \Phi(k)\Phi(g)\Phi(k')$, for all $k, k' \in K$, $g \in G$, and $k \mapsto \Phi(k)$ is a representation of K such that any irreducible subrepresentation belongs to δ . In particular the spherical function Φ determines its type univocally and let us say that the number of times that δ occurs in the representation $k \mapsto \Phi(k)$ is called the *height* of Φ .

CIEM-FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE C  RDOBA, 5000 C  RDOBA, ARGENTINA .
E-mail address: pacharoni@famaf.unc.edu.ar, tirao@famaf.unc.edu.ar.

Spherical functions of type δ arise in a natural way upon considering representations of G . If $g \mapsto U(g)$ is a continuous representation of G , say on a finite dimensional vector space E , then

$$P(\delta) = \int_K \chi_\delta(k^{-1}) U(k) dk$$

is a projection of E onto $P(\delta)E = E(\delta)$; $E(\delta)$ consists of those vectors in E , the linear span of whose K -orbit splits into irreducible K -subrepresentations of type δ . The function $\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{End}(E(\delta))$ defined by

$$\Phi(g)a = P(\delta)U(g)a, \quad g \in G, a \in E(\delta)$$

is a spherical function of type δ . In fact, if $a \in E(\delta)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(x)\Phi(y)a &= P(\delta)U(x)P(\delta)U(y)a = \int_K \chi_\delta(k^{-1}) P(\delta)U(x)U(k)U(y)a dk \\ &= \left(\int_K \chi_\delta(k^{-1}) \Phi(xky) dk \right) a. \end{aligned}$$

If the representation $g \mapsto U(g)$ is irreducible, then the associated spherical function Φ is also irreducible. Conversely, any irreducible spherical function on a compact group G arises in this way from a finite-dimensional irreducible representation of G .

If G is a connected Lie group, it is not difficult to prove that any spherical function $\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is differentiable (C^∞), and moreover that it is analytic. Let $D(G)$ denote the algebra of all left-invariant differential operators on G and let $D(G)^K$ denote the subalgebra of all operators in $D(G)$ that are invariant under all right translations by elements in K .

In the following proposition (V, π) will be a finite-dimensional representation of K such that any irreducible subrepresentation belongs to the same class $\delta \in \hat{K}$.

Proposition 1.1. ([T1],[GV]) *A function $\Phi : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$ is a spherical function of type δ if and only if*

- (i) Φ is analytic.
- (ii) $\Phi(kgk') = \pi(k)\Phi(g)\pi(k')$, for all $k, k' \in K$, $g \in G$, and $\Phi(e) = I$.
- (iii) $[D\Phi](g) = \Phi(g)[D\Phi](e)$, for all $D \in D(G)^K$, $g \in G$.

The aim of this paper is to determine all irreducible spherical functions of the pair $(G, K) = (\text{SU}(n+1), \text{S}(\text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1)))$, $n \geq 2$, whose K types are of a special kind. This will be done starting from Proposition 1.1.

The irreducible finite-dimensional representations of G are restrictions of irreducible representations of $\text{U}(n+1)$, which are parameterized by $(n+1)$ -tuples of integers

$$\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{n+1})$$

such that $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_{n+1}$.

Different representations of $\text{U}(n+1)$ can be restricted to the same representation of G . In fact the representations $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{p}$ of $\text{U}(n+1)$ restrict to the same representation of $\text{SU}(n+1)$ if and only if $m_i = p_i + j$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$ and some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The closed subgroup K of G is isomorphic to $\mathrm{U}(n)$, hence its finite-dimensional irreducible representations are parameterized by the n -tuples of integers

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)$$

subject to the conditions $k_1 \geq k_2 \geq \dots \geq k_n$. We shall say that $\mathbf{k}$ is a one-step representation of K if it is of the following form

$$\mathbf{k} = (\underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_k, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k})$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Let $\mathbf{k}$ be an irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{U}(n)$. Then $\mathbf{k}$ is a subrepresentation of $\mathbf{m}$ if and only if the coefficients k_i satisfy the interlacing property

$$m_i \geq k_i \geq m_{i+1}, \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover if $\mathbf{k}$ is a subrepresentation of $\mathbf{m}$ it appears only once. (See [VK]). Therefore the height of any irreducible spherical function Φ of (G, K) is one. This is equivalent to the commutativity of the algebra $D(G)^K$. (See [GV], [T1]).

The representation space $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ of $\mathbf{k}$ is a subspace of the representation space $V_{\mathbf{m}}$ of $\mathbf{m}$ and it is also K -stable. In fact, if $A \in \mathrm{U}(n)$, $a = (\det A)^{-1}$ and $v \in V_{\mathbf{k}}$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \cdot v = a \begin{pmatrix} a^{-1}A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot v = a^{s_{\mathbf{m}} - s_{\mathbf{k}}} \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot v,$$

where $s_{\mathbf{m}} = m_1 + \dots + m_{n+1}$ and $s_{\mathbf{k}} = k_1 + \dots + k_n$. This means that the representation of K on $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ obtained from $\mathbf{m}$ by restriction is parameterized by

$$(1) \quad (k_1 + s_{\mathbf{k}} - s_{\mathbf{m}}, \dots, k_n + s_{\mathbf{k}} - s_{\mathbf{m}}).$$

Let $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ be the spherical function of (G, K) associated to the representation $\mathbf{m}$ of G and to the subrepresentation $\mathbf{k}$ of $\mathrm{U}(n)$. Then (1) says that the K -type of $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ is $\mathbf{k} + (s_{\mathbf{k}} - s_{\mathbf{m}})(1, \dots, 1)$.

Proposition 1.2. *The spherical functions $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ and $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{k}'}$ of the pair (G, K) are equivalent if and only if $\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{m} + j(1, \dots, 1)$ and $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k} + j(1, \dots, 1)$.*

Proof. The spherical functions $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ and $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{k}'}$ are equivalent if and only if $\mathbf{m}$ and $\mathbf{m}'$ are equivalent and the K -types of both spherical functions are the same, see the discussion in p. 85 of [T1]. We know that $\mathbf{m} \simeq \mathbf{m}'$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{m}' = \mathbf{m} + j(1, \dots, 1) \quad \text{for some } j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Besides, the K types are the same if and only if

$$k_i + s_{\mathbf{k}} - s_{\mathbf{m}} = k'_i + s_{\mathbf{k}'} - s_{\mathbf{m}'} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{k}' = \mathbf{k} + p(1, \dots, 1)$, and now it is easy to see that $p = j$. $\square$

Remark 1.1. Given a spherical function $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ we can assume that $s_{\mathbf{k}} - s_{\mathbf{m}} = 0$. In such a case the K -type of $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ is $\mathbf{k}$, see (1).

Our Lie group G has the following polar decomposition $G = KAK$, where the abelian subgroup A of G consists of all matrices of the form

$$(2) \quad a(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(Here I_{n-1} denotes the identity matrix of size $n-1$). Since an irreducible spherical function Φ of G of type δ satisfies $\Phi(kgk') = \Phi(k)\Phi(g)\Phi(k')$ for all $k, k' \in K$ and $g \in G$, and $\Phi(k)$ is an irreducible representation of K in the class δ , it follows that Φ is determined by its restriction to A and its K -type. Hence, from now on, we shall consider its restriction to A .

Let M be the centralizer of A in K . Then M consists of all elements of the form

$$m = \begin{pmatrix} e^{ir} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{ir} \end{pmatrix}, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, B \in \mathrm{U}(n-1), \det B = e^{-2ir}.$$

The finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ are parameterized by the $(n-1)$ -tuples of integers

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1})$$

such that $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_{n-1}$.

The representation of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ in $V_{\mathbf{k}} \subset V_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ restricted to $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ decomposes as the following direct sum

$$(3) \quad V_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{t} \in \hat{\mathrm{U}}(n-1)} V_{\mathbf{t}},$$

where the sum is over all the representations $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}) \in \hat{\mathrm{U}}(n-1)$ such that the coefficients of $\mathbf{t}$ interlace the coefficients of $\mathbf{k}$: $k_i \geq t_i \geq k_{i+1}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$.

If $a \in A$, then $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a)$ commutes with $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(m)$ for all $m \in M$. In fact we have

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a)\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(m) = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(am) = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(ma) = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(m)\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a).$$

But $m = e^{ir} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ir}B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $e^{ir}I$ is in the center of $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$. Hence $V_{\mathbf{t}}$

is an irreducible M -module and $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a)$ also commutes with the action $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$. Since $V_{\mathbf{t}} \subset V_{\mathbf{k}}$ appears only once, by Schur's Lemma it follows that $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a)|_{V_{\mathbf{t}}} = \phi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a)I|_{V_{\mathbf{t}}}$, where $\phi_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(a) \in \mathbb{C}$ for all $a \in A$.

For $g \in G$, let $A(g)$ denote the $n \times n$ left upper corner of g , and let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{g \in G : A(g) \text{ is nonsingular}\}.$$

Notice that $\mathcal{A}$ is an open dense subset of G which is left and right invariant under K . The set $\mathcal{A}$ can also be described as the set of all $g \in G$ such that $g_{n+1, n+1} \neq 0$. This is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.3. *If $U = (u_{ij}) \in \mathrm{SU}(n+1)$, we shall denote by $U_{(i|j)}$ the $n \times n$ matrix obtained from U by eliminating the i th row and the j th column. Then*

$$\det U_{(i|j)} = (-1)^{i+j} \overline{u}_{ij}.$$

Proof. The adjoint of a square matrix U is the matrix whose ij -element is defined by $(\mathrm{adj} U)_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det U_{(j|i)}$, and it is denoted by $\mathrm{adj} U$. Then $U \mathrm{adj} U = \det U$. But if $U \in \mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ we have $U^{-1} = U^*$ and $\det U = 1$, hence

$$(-1)^{i+j} \det U_{(j|i)} = \overline{u}_{ji},$$

which completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

As in [GPT1] to determine all irreducible spherical functions of G of type $\mathbf{k}$ an auxiliary function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ is introduced. It is defined by

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g) = \pi(A(g)),$$

where π stands for the unique holomorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the parameter $\mathbf{k}$. It turns out that if $k_n \geq 0$, then $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ where $\mathbf{m} = (k_1, \dots, k_n, 0)$.

Then instead of looking at a general spherical function Φ of type $\mathbf{k}$, we shall look at the function $H(g) = \Phi(g)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)^{-1}$ which is well defined on $\mathcal{A}$.

2. THE DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS D AND E

The group G acts in a natural way on the complex projective space $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. This action is transitive and K is the isotropy subgroup of the point $(0, \dots, 0, 1) \in P_n(\mathbb{C})$. Therefore

$$P_n(\mathbb{C}) \simeq G/K.$$

Moreover the G -action on $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to the action induced by left multiplication on G/K . We identify the complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$ with the affine space $\mathbb{C}^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n, 1) \in P_n(\mathbb{C}) : (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$, and we will take full advantage of the K -orbit structure of $P_n(\mathbb{C})$. The affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$ is K -stable and the corresponding space at infinity $L = P_{n-1}(\mathbb{C})$ is a K -orbit. Moreover the K -orbits in $\mathbb{C}^n$ are the spheres

$$S_r = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2 = r^2\}.$$

Thus we can take the points $(r, 0, \dots, 0) \in S_r$ and $(1, 0, \dots, 0) \in L$ as representatives of S_r and L , respectively. Since $(M, 0, \dots, 0, 1) = (1, 0, \dots, \frac{1}{M}) \longrightarrow (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ when $M \rightarrow \infty$, the closed interval $[0, \infty]$ parameterizes the set of K -orbits in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Let us consider on $\mathbb{C}^n$ the $2n$ -real linear coordinates $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$ defined by: $x_j(z_1, \dots, z_n) + i y_j(z_1, \dots, z_n) = z_j$ for all $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We also introduce the following usual notation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right).$$

From now on any $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ will be considered as a left-invariant complex vector field on G . We will be interested in the following left-invariant differential operators on G ,

$$(4) \quad \Delta_P = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} E_{n+1,j} E_{j,n+1}, \quad \Delta_Q = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} E_{ij}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The differential operators Δ_P and Δ_Q are in $D(G)^K$.*

Proof. It is clear from the definitions that Δ_P and Δ_Q are elements of weight zero with respect to the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ of all diagonal matrices. Thus to prove that Δ_P and Δ_Q are right invariant under K it suffices to prove, respectively, that $[E_{r,r+1}, \Delta_P] = 0$ and that $[E_{r,r+1}, \Delta_Q] = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq n-1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{r,r+1}, \Delta_P] &= \sum_{j=1}^n [E_{r,r+1}, E_{n+1,j}] E_{j,n+1} + \sum_{j=1}^n E_{n+1,j} [E_{r,r+1}, E_{j,n+1}] \\ &= -E_{n+1,r+1} E_{r,n+1} + E_{n+1,r+1} E_{r,n+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
[E_{r,r+1}, \Delta_Q] &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n [E_{r,r+1}, E_{n+1,i}] E_{j,n+1} E_{ij} + \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{n+1,i} [E_{r,r+1}, E_{j,n+1}] E_{ij} \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} [E_{r,r+1}, E_{ij}] \\
&= - \sum_{j=1}^n E_{n+1,r+1} E_{j,n+1} E_{rj} + \sum_{i=1}^n E_{n+1,i} E_{r,n+1} E_{i,r+1} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n E_{n+1,r+1} E_{j,n+1} E_{rj} - \sum_{i=1}^n E_{n+1,i} E_{r,n+1} E_{i,r+1} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

2.1. Reduction to $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

According to Proposition 1.1 if $\Phi = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ denotes a generic irreducible spherical function of type $\mathbf{k}$, then $\Delta_P \Phi = \lambda \Phi$ and $\Delta_Q \Phi = \mu \Phi$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{C}$, because $[\Delta_P \Phi](e) = \lambda I$ and $[\Delta_Q \Phi](e) = \mu I$ since $V_{\mathbf{m}}$ as a K -module is multiplicity-free.

We introduced the auxiliary function $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \text{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$. It is defined by $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g) = \pi(A(g))$ where π stands for the unique holomorphic representation of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of highest weight $\mathbf{k}$. Then instead of looking at a general spherical function Φ of type $\mathbf{k}$ we look at the function

$$H(g) = \Phi(g) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)^{-1}$$

which is well defined on $\mathcal{A}$. Then H satisfies

- (i) $H(e) = I$.
- (ii) $H(gk) = H(g)$, for all $g \in \mathcal{A}, k \in K$.
- (iii) $H(kg) = \pi(k)H(g)\pi(k^{-1})$, for all $g \in \mathcal{A}, k \in K$.

The projection map $p : G \longrightarrow P_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined by $p(g) = g \cdot (0, \dots, 0, 1)$, maps the open set $\mathcal{A}$ onto the affine space $\mathbb{C}^n$. Thus (ii) says that H may be considered as a function on $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The fact that Φ is an eigenfunction of Δ_P and Δ_Q makes H into an eigenfunction of certain differential operators D and E on $\mathbb{C}^n$, to be determined now.

Since the function A is the restriction of a holomorphic function defined on an open subset of $\text{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$, and $A(g \exp t E_{j,n+1}) = A(g)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, it follows that $E_{j,n+1}(\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$. Thus

$$\Delta_P(H\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{j=1}^n ((E_{n+1,j} E_{j,n+1} H)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} + (E_{j,n+1} H)(E_{n+1,j} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})).$$

Let $\dot{\pi}$ be the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ obtained by derivation of π of highest weight $\mathbf{k}$. Since $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(gk) = \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)\pi(k)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{A}, k \in K$ we have $E_{i,j}\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} = \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}\dot{\pi}(E_{i,j})$. Therefore

$$\Delta_Q(H\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n ((E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} H)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}} + (E_{j,n+1} H)(E_{n+1,i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}})) \dot{\pi}(E_{ij}).$$

In the open set $\mathcal{A} \subset G$ let us consider the following differential operators. For $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \text{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ let

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 H &= \sum_{j=1}^n E_{n+1,j} E_{j,n+1} H, \\
D_2 H &= \sum_{j=1}^n (E_{j,n+1} H) (E_{n+1,j} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}, \\
E_1 H &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} H) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \dot{\pi}(E_{ij}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}, \\
E_2 H &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (E_{j,n+1} H) (E_{n+1,i} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \dot{\pi}(E_{ij}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We observe that

$$(5) \quad \Delta_P(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) = \left(D_1(H) + D_2(H) \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \text{ and } \Delta_Q(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) = \left(E_1(H) + E_2(H) \right) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}};$$

then it is clear that $\Phi = H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ is an eigenfunction of Δ_P and Δ_Q if and only if H is an eigenfunction of $D = D_1 + D_2$ and $E = E_1 + E_2$.

Lemma 2.2. *The differential operators D_1 , D_2 , E_1 , and E_2 define differential operators D_1, D_2, E_1 and E_2 acting on $C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$.*

Proof. The only thing we really need to prove is that D_1 , D_2 , E_1 , and E_2 preserve the subspace $C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ of all right K -invariant functions.

For $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ and $k \in K$ we write $H^{R(k)}(g) = H(gk)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $(\mathrm{Ad}(k)D)H = (DH^{R(k^{-1})})^{R(k)}$ for any $D \in D(G)$. In particular any $D \in D(G)^K$ leaves $C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ invariant.

That D_1 has this property is a consequence of $D_1 H = \Delta_P H$ and $\Delta_P \in D(G)^K$. On the other hand, from (5) we get

$$\Delta_P(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} = D_1 H + D_2 H.$$

If $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$, it is easy to verify that $\Delta_P(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$. Therefore D_2 also preserves $C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$.

In a similar way from (5) we obtain

$$\Delta_Q(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} = E_1 H + E_2 H.$$

Since $\Delta_Q \in D(G)^K$ it follows that $\Delta_Q(H \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ for all $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$. Hence to finish the proof of the lemma it suffices to prove that E_1 leaves invariant $C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$.

A computation similar to the one done in the proof of Lemma 2.1 shows that the element

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} \otimes E_{ij} \in D(G) \otimes D(K)$$

is K -invariant. Now let us consider the unique linear map

$$L : D(G) \otimes D(K) \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \mathrm{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}}))$$

such that $L(E \otimes F)(H) = (EH) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \dot{\pi}(F) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1}$. Then

$$\Delta_{Q_1} H = L \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} \otimes E_{ij} \right) (H).$$

Thus if $k \in K$ and $H \in C^\infty(\mathcal{A})^K \otimes \text{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{Q_1} H &= L \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{Ad}(k)(E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1}) \otimes \text{Ad}(k) E_{ij} \right) (H) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (\text{Ad}(k)(E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1}) H) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}} \pi(k) \dot{\pi}(E_{ij}) \pi(k^{-1}) \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} H)^{R(k)} \Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{R(k)} \dot{\pi}(E_{ij}) (\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{-1})^{R(k)} = (\Delta_{Q_1} H)^{R(k)}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

The proofs of Propositions 2.3, and 2.4 require simple but lengthy computations. Complete details will be given in [PT5].

Let $\dot{\pi}$ be the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ of highest weight $\mathbf{k}$.

Proposition 2.3. *For $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} DH &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_j|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq n} (H_{x_r x_r} + H_{y_r y_r}) (1 + |z_r|^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{1 \leq r \neq k \leq n} (H_{x_r x_k} + H_{y_r y_k}) \text{Re}(z_r \bar{z}_k) - 2 \sum_{1 \leq r \neq k \leq n} H_{x_r y_k} \text{Im}(z_r \bar{z}_k) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq r \leq n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z_r} \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} z_i (\delta_{rj} + z_r \bar{z}_j) E_{ij} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.4. *For $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V_{\mathbf{k}})$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} EH &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} |z_j|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq r, k \leq n} (H_{x_r x_k} + H_{y_r y_k}) \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\delta_{kj} + z_k \bar{z}_j) E_{rj} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + i \sum_{1 \leq r, k \leq n} H_{x_r y_k} \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\delta_{jr} + z_r \bar{z}_j) E_{kj} - (\delta_{kj} + z_k \bar{z}_j) E_{rj} \right) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq r, k \leq n} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z_r} \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} z_j E_{jk} \right) \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (\delta_{rj} + z_r \bar{z}_j) E_{kj} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2.2. Reduction to one variable.

We are interested in considering the differential operators D and E applied to a function $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{End}(V_\pi)$ such that $H(kp) = \pi(k)H(p)\pi(k)^{-1}$, for all $k \in K$ and p in the affine complex space $\mathbb{C}^n$. This property of H allows us to find ordinary differential operators $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ defined on the interval $(0, \infty)$ such that

$$(DH)(r, 0, \dots, 0) = (\tilde{D}\tilde{H})(r), \quad (EH)(r, 0, \dots, 0) = (\tilde{E}\tilde{H})(r),$$

where $\tilde{H}(r) = H(r, 0, \dots, 0)$. Let $(r, \mathbf{0}) = (r, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We also introduce differential operators $\tilde{D}_1$, $\tilde{D}_2$, $\tilde{E}_1$ and $\tilde{E}_2$ in the same way, that is $(D_1 H)(r, \mathbf{0}) = (\tilde{D}_1 \tilde{H})(r)$, $(D_2 H)(r, \mathbf{0}) = (\tilde{D}_2 \tilde{H})(r)$, $(E_1 H)(r, \mathbf{0}) = (\tilde{E}_1 \tilde{H})(r)$ and $(E_2 H)(r, \mathbf{0}) = (\tilde{E}_2 \tilde{H})(r)$.

In order to give the explicit expressions of $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ we need to compute a number of second order partial derivatives at the points $(r, \mathbf{0})$ of the function $H : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\pi)$. We recall that $[0, \infty]$ parameterizes the set of K -orbits in $P_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Given $z_1, z_j \in \mathbb{C}$, $2 \leq j \leq n$, we need a matrix in K such that carries the point $(z_1, 0, \dots, 0, z_j, 0, \dots, 0) \neq 0$ to the meridian $\{(r, \mathbf{0}) : r > 0\}$. A good choice is the following $n \times n$ matrix

$$(6) \quad A(z_1, z_j) = \frac{1}{s(z_1, z_j)} \left(z_1 E_{11} - \bar{z}_j E_{1j} + z_j E_{j1} + \bar{z}_1 E_{jj} + \sum_{k \neq 1, j} E_{kk} \right) \in \mathrm{SU}(n),$$

where $s(z_1, z_j) = \sqrt{|z_1|^2 + |z_j|^2} \neq 0$. Then

$$(z_1, 0, \dots, 0, z_j, 0, \dots, 0)^t = A(z_1, z_j)(s(z_1, z_j), 0, \dots, 0)^t.$$

The proofs of the following theorems are similar as in the case of the complex projective plane, considered in [GPT1]. Complete details will appear in the forthcoming paper [PT5].

Theorem 2.5. *For all $r > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}\tilde{H} = & -\frac{1}{4} \left((1+r^2)^2 \frac{d^2 \tilde{H}}{dr^2}(r) + \frac{(1+r^2)}{r} \frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr}(r) (2n-1+r^2-2r^2\dot{\pi}(E_{11})) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{4}{r^2} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) + \frac{4(1+r^2)}{r^2} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.6. *For all $r > 0$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}\tilde{H} = & -\frac{1}{4} \left((1+r^2)^2 \frac{d^2 \tilde{H}}{dr^2} \dot{\pi}(E_{11}) + \frac{(1+r^2)}{r} (2n-1+r^2-2r^2\dot{\pi}(E_{11})) \frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr} \dot{\pi}(E_{11}) \right. \\ & + \frac{2(1+r^2)}{r} \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr} \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) - \frac{2(1+r^2)^2}{r} \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr} \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \\ & - \frac{2(1+r^2)}{r^2} \sum_{j,k=2}^n \left([\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}]] + [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), \tilde{H}]] \right) \dot{\pi}(E_{jk}) \\ & \left. - 4 \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}] \dot{\pi}(E_{1k}) \dot{\pi}(E_{kj}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

2.3. The operators $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ in matrix form.

From now on we will assume that the K -types of our spherical functions will be of the one step kind, i.e., for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ let

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{k} = (\underbrace{m+\ell, \dots, m+\ell}_k, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k}).$$

Therefore, the irreducible spherical functions Φ of the pair (G, K) , whose K -type is as in (7), are parameterized by the $n+1$ -tuples $\mathbf{m}$ of the form

$$(8) \quad \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(w, r) = (w+m+\ell, \underbrace{m+\ell, \dots, m+\ell}_{k-1}, m+r, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k-1}, -w-r),$$

where $0 \leq w$, $m \geq -w-r$ and $0 \leq r \leq \ell$. See Proposition 1.2 and Remark 1.1. Thus if we assume $w \geq w_0 = \max\{0, -m\}$ and $0 \leq r \leq \ell$ all the conditions are satisfied.

We observe now that the decomposition (3) of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ into $U(n-1)$ -submodules is

$$(9) \quad V_{\mathbf{k}} = \bigoplus_{s=0}^{\ell} V_{\mathbf{t}(s)},$$

where

$$\mathbf{t}(s) = (\underbrace{m+\ell, \dots, m+\ell}_{k-1}, m+s, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k-1}).$$

We recall that for any $0 < r < \infty$, $\tilde{H}(r)$ is a linear operator of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ which commutes with the action of $U(n-1)$. Thus $\tilde{H}(r)$ is a scalar transformation on each $U(n-1)$ -submodule $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$. We denote this scalar by $\tilde{h}_s(r)$ and we will identify the function $\tilde{H}(r)$ associated to Φ with the column vector function:

$$\tilde{H}(r) = (\tilde{h}_0(r), \dots, \tilde{h}_{\ell}(r))^t.$$

The expression of the differential operator $\tilde{D}$ in Theorem 2.5 is given in terms of linear operators of the vector space $V_{\mathbf{k}}$. Now we proceed to give $\tilde{D}$ as a system of linear differential operators in the $\ell+1$ unknowns $\tilde{h}_0(r), \dots, \tilde{h}_{\ell}(r)$.

Proposition 2.7. *For all $r > 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq \ell$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{D}\tilde{H})_s(r) = & -\frac{(1+r^2)^2}{4}\tilde{h}_s''(r) - \frac{(1+r^2)}{4r}(2n-1+r^2-2(m+\ell-s)r^2)\tilde{h}_s'(r) \\ & - \frac{1}{r^2}s(\ell+k-s)(\tilde{h}_{s-1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) \\ & - \frac{(1+r^2)}{r^2}(\ell-s)(n-k+s)(\tilde{h}_{s+1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. To obtain the proposition from Theorem 2.5 we need to compute in each $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$, $0 \leq s \leq \ell$, the linear transformations

$$\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \quad \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}).$$

The highest weight of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ is

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_s = & (m+\ell-s)x_1 + (m+\ell)(x_2 + \dots + x_k) + (m+s)x_{k+1} \\ & + m(x_{k+2} + \dots + x_n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore all weights of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ are of the form $\mu = \mu_s - \sum_{r=2}^{n-1} n_r \alpha_r$ with $\alpha_r = x_r - x_{r+1}$, thus $\mu = (m+\ell-s)x_1 + \dots$. Now we observe that for the representations π considered here, for all $j = 2, \dots, n$, we have

$$(11) \quad \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})(V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}) \subset V_{\mathbf{t}(s-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\pi}(E_{j1})(V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}) \subset V_{\mathbf{t}(s+1)}.$$

In fact, if $v \in V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ is a vector of weight μ , then $\dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v$ is a vector of weight $\mu + x_1 - x_j = (m+\ell-(s-1))x_1 + \dots$. Similarly $\dot{\pi}(E_{j1})v$ is a vector of weight $\mu + x_j - x_1 = (m+\ell-(s+1))x_1 + \dots$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} (\dot{\pi}(E_{j1})\tilde{H}(r) - \tilde{H}(r)\dot{\pi}(E_{j1})) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v \\ &= (\tilde{h}_{s-1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \tilde{H}(r) \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) v = (\tilde{h}_{s+1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) v.$$

The Casimir element of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\Delta^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{ij} E_{ji} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} E_{ii}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (E_{ii} - E_{jj}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E_{ji} E_{ij}.$$

Similarly, the Casimir operator of $\mathrm{GL}(n-1, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\Delta^{(n-1)} = \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{ij} E_{ji} = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} E_{ii}^2 + \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} (E_{ii} - E_{jj}) + 2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j \leq n} E_{ji} E_{ij}.$$

Hence

$$(12) \quad \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{j1} E_{1j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^{(n)} - \Delta^{(n-1)} - E_{11}^2 - \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} (E_{11} - E_{jj}) \right).$$

We also have

$$(13) \quad \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{1j} E_{j1} = \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{j1} E_{1j} + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} (E_{11} - E_{jj}).$$

To compute the scalar linear transformation $\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})$ on $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ it is enough to apply it to a highest weight vector v_s of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$. The highest weight of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ is μ_0 and the weight of v_s is μ_s , see (10). Then we have

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}(\Delta^{(n)}) v_s &= (k(m+\ell)^2 + (n-k)m^2 + k(n-k)\ell) v_s, \\ \dot{\pi}(\Delta^{(n-1)}) v_s &= ((k-1)(m+\ell)^2 + (m+s)^2 + (n-k-1)m^2 \\ &\quad + (\ell-s)(k-1) + s(n-k-1) + \ell(k-1)(n-k-1)) v_s, \\ \dot{\pi}(E_{11}) v &= (m+\ell-s) v_s, \\ \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{jj}) v_s &= (mn + \ell k - \ell - m + s) v_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using (12) and (13), we obtain for all $v \in V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) v &= \dot{\pi} \left(\sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{j1} E_{1j} \right) v = s(\ell + k - s) v, \\ \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) v &= (\ell - s)(n - k + s) v. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we compute, for all $v \in V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$

$$(16) \quad \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) v = s(\ell + k - s) (\tilde{h}_{s-1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) v,$$

$$(17) \quad \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}(r)] \dot{\pi}(E_{j1}) v = (\ell - s)(n - k + s) (\tilde{h}_{s+1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) v,$$

and now the proposition follows easily from Theorem 2.5. $\square$

To obtain a similar result for the operator E from Theorem 2.6, we need to compute the linear transformations

$$\sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{k1}) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \dot{\pi}(E_{jk}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) \dot{\pi}(E_{k1}) \dot{\pi}(E_{jk})$$

in each $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$, $0 \leq s \leq \ell$. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let us consider the following elements of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$:*

$$F = \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{1j} E_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad F' = \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{1j} E_{k1} E_{jk}.$$

Then they are $U(n-1)$ -invariant and for $0 \leq s \leq \ell$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}(F)|_{V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}} &= -s(\ell + k - s)(k - s - m - n + 1)I_s, \\ \dot{\pi}(F')|_{V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}} &= -(\ell - s)(n - k + s)(k - s - m - 1)I_s, \end{aligned}$$

where I_s stands for the identity linear transformation of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that the following elements

$$F^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad F^{(n-1)} = \sum_{2 \leq i, j, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk}$$

are, respectively, in the centers of the universal enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$. We also have

$$F^{(n)} - F^{(n-1)} = \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{1j} E_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} E_{ki} E_{i1} E_{1k} + \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{1i} E_{ij} E_{j1}.$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{1j} E_{jk} &= F + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{11} E_{1k} + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{11} E_{1j} E_{j1} \\ &= F + E_{11}^3 + (2E_{11} + 1) \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{1k} + E_{11} \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} (E_{11} - E_{jj}), \\ \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n}} E_{ki} E_{i1} E_{1k} &= \sum_{2 \leq i, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{i1} E_{1k} + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} E_{1i} E_{i1} E_{11} \\ &= F + E_{11} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} (E_{11} - E_{ii}) + E_{11} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} E_{i1} E_{1i}, \\ \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{1i} E_{ij} E_{j1} &= (n-1) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} E_{1i} E_{i1} + F' \\ &= (n-1) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} (E_{11} - E_{ii}) + (n-1) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} E_{i1} E_{1i} + F'. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(n)} - F^{(n-1)} &= 2F + F' + (3E_{11} + n) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{j1} E_{1j} + E_{11}(E_{11} + n - 1)^2 \\ (18) \quad &\quad - (2E_{11} + n - 1) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

We also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} F' &= \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{1j} E_{k1} E_{jk} = \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} E_{k1} E_{1j} E_{jk} + \sum_{2 \leq j, k \leq n} (\delta_{jk} E_{11} - E_{kj}) E_{jk} \\ (19) \quad &= F - \Delta^{(n-1)} + E_{11} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

From (18) and (19) we obtain

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} 3F &= F^{(n)} - F^{(n-1)} + \Delta^{(n-1)} - (3E_{11} + n) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{j1} E_{1j} \\ &\quad - E_{11}(E_{11} + n - 1)^2 + (E_{11} + n - 1) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n} E_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

To compute $\dot{\pi}(F)$ on the M -module $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ it is enough to know $\dot{\pi}(F)v_s$, since F is M -invariant. Thus we only need to determine $\dot{\pi}(F^{(n)})v_s$ and $\dot{\pi}(F^{(n-1)})v_s$ because the other terms have been computed before in (14) and (15).

Since $F^{(n)}$ is K -invariant it is enough to compute $\dot{\pi}(F^{(n)})v_0$. But v_0 is a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ in $V_{\mathbf{k}}$; hence it is enough to compute $F^{(n)}$ modulo the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}))\mathfrak{k}_+$ of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ generated by the set $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. Similarly, since v_s is a highest weight vector of $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C})$ in $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ it is enough to compute $F^{(n-1)}$ modulo the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))\mathfrak{m}_+$ of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$ generated by the set $\{E_{ij} : 2 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

We start with $F^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk}$. To rewrite $E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk}$ we partition the set of indices into the following subsets, and we use the symbol $\equiv$ to denote congruence modulo the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C}))\mathfrak{k}_+$.

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} j < k : E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} &\equiv 0, \\ j = k > i : E_{ki} E_{ik} E_{kk} &= E_{ki}(E_{ik} + E_{kk} E_{ik}) \equiv 0, \\ j = k = i : E_{kk} E_{kk} E_{kk} &= E_{kk}^3, \\ j = k < i : E_{ki} E_{ik} E_{kk} &= (E_{kk} - E_{ii} + E_{ik} E_{ki}) E_{kk} \\ &= (E_{kk} - E_{ii}) E_{kk} + E_{ik}(-E_{ki} + E_{kk} E_{ki}) \equiv (E_{kk} - E_{ii}) E_{kk}, \\ i > j > k : E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} &= (E_{kj} + E_{ij} E_{ki}) E_{jk} = E_{kk} - E_{jj} + E_{jk} E_{kj} \\ &\quad + E_{ij}(-E_{ji} + E_{jk} E_{ki}) \equiv E_{kk} - E_{jj}, \\ i = j > k : E_{kj} E_{jj} E_{jk} &= (E_{kj} + E_{jj} E_{kj}) E_{jk} = E_{kk} - E_{jj} + E_{jk} E_{kj} \\ &\quad + E_{jj}(E_{kk} - E_{jj} + E_{jk} E_{kj}) \equiv (E_{kk} - E_{jj})(E_{jj} + 1), \\ j > i > k : E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} &= E_{ki}(E_{ik} + E_{jk} E_{ij}) \equiv E_{kk} - E_{ii} + E_{ik} E_{ki} \\ &\equiv E_{kk} - E_{ii}, \\ j > i = k : E_{kk} E_{kj} E_{jk} &= E_{kk}(E_{kk} - E_{jj} + E_{jk} E_{kj}) \equiv E_{kk}(E_{kk} - E_{jj}), \\ j > k > i : E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} &= E_{ki}(E_{ik} + E_{jk} E_{ij}) \equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} F^{(n)} &\equiv \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} E_{kk}^3 + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} 2(E_{kk} - E_{jj}) E_{kk} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} 2(n-j)(E_{kk} - E_{jj}) + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (E_{kk} - E_{jj})(E_{jj} + 1) \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} E_{kk}^3 + \sum_{1 \leq k < j \leq n} (E_{kk} - E_{jj})(2E_{kk} + E_{jj} + 2(n-j) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way for $F^{(n-1)} = \sum_{2 \leq i, j, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk} \in U(\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))$, it is enough to work modulo the left ideal $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{C}))\mathfrak{m}_+$. Then we obtain

$$(23) \quad F^{(n-1)} \equiv \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} E_{kk}^3 + \sum_{2 \leq k < j \leq n} (E_{kk} - E_{jj})(2E_{kk} + E_{jj} + 2(n-j) + 1).$$

The highest weight of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ is $\mu_0 = (m + \ell)(x_1 + \cdots + x_k) + m(x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_n)$. Hence, from (22) it is easy to conclude that

$$(24) \quad \dot{\pi}(F^{(n)}) = (k(m + \ell)^3 + (n - k)m^3 + k\ell(n - k)(2(m + \ell) + m + n - k)) I,$$

where I stands for the identity linear transformation of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$.

The highest weight of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ is

$$\mu_s = (m + \ell - s)x_1 + (m + \ell)(x_2 + \cdots + x_k) + (m + s)x_{k+1} + m(x_{k+2} + \cdots + x_n).$$

Hence, from (23) it is easy to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}(F^{(n-1)}) &= ((k - 1)(m + \ell)^3 + (m + s)^3 + (n - k - 1)m^3 \\ &\quad + (k - 1)(\ell - s)(2(m + \ell) + m + s + 2(n - k) - 1) \\ &\quad + (k - 1)\ell(n - k - 1)(2(m + \ell) + m + n - k - 1) \\ &\quad + s(n - k - 1)(2(m + s) + m + n - k - 1)) I_s. \end{aligned}$$

By taking into account the calculations made in (14) and (15) and replacing them in (19) and (20) we complete the proof of the lemma. $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *For all $r > 0$ and $0 \leq s \leq \ell$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{E}\tilde{H})_s(r) &= -\frac{(1 + r^2)^2}{4}(m + \ell - s)\tilde{h}_s''(r) \\ &\quad - \frac{(1 + r^2)}{4r}(m + \ell - s)(2n - 1 + r^2 - 2r^2(m + \ell - s))\tilde{h}_s'(r) \\ &\quad - \frac{(1 + r^2)}{2r}s(\ell + k - s)\tilde{h}_{s-1}'(r) + \frac{(1 + r^2)^2}{2r}(\ell - s)(n - k + s)\tilde{h}_{s+1}'(r) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + r^2)}{r^2}s(\ell + k - s)(k - s - m - n + 1)(\tilde{h}_{s-1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) \\ &\quad + \frac{(1 + r^2)}{r^2}(\ell - s)(n - k + s)(k - s - m - 1)(\tilde{h}_{s+1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)) \\ &\quad + s(\ell + k - s)(2m + n + \ell - k)(\tilde{h}_{s-1}(r) - \tilde{h}_s(r)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We need to compute in each M -module $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$, $0 \leq s \leq \ell$, the linear transformations appearing in the differential operator $\tilde{E}$ in Theorem 2.6.

It is important to recall, see (11), that

$$\dot{\pi}(E_{1j})(V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}) \subset V_{\mathbf{t}(s-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad \dot{\pi}(E_{j1})(V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}) \subset V_{\mathbf{t}(s+1)},$$

for $2 \leq j \leq n$.

From the calculations made in the proof of Proposition 2.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}(E_{11})v_s &= (m + \ell - s)v_s, \\ \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{j1})\frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr}\dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v_s &= \tilde{h}_{s-1}' \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{j1})\dot{\pi}(E_{1j})v_s = \tilde{h}_{s-1}' s(\ell + k - s)v_s, \\ \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})\frac{d\tilde{H}}{dr}\dot{\pi}(E_{j1})v_s &= \tilde{h}_{s+1}' \sum_{j=2}^n \dot{\pi}(E_{1j})\dot{\pi}(E_{j1})v_s = \tilde{h}_{s+1}' (\ell - s)(n - k + s)v_s. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}]] \dot{\pi}(E_{jk}) &= (\tilde{h}_s - \tilde{h}_{s-1}) \dot{\pi}(F) + (\tilde{h}_s - \tilde{h}_{s+1}) \dot{\pi}(F'), \\ \sum_{j,k=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), \tilde{H}]] \dot{\pi}(E_{jk}) &= (\tilde{h}_s - \tilde{h}_{s-1}) \dot{\pi}(F) + (\tilde{h}_s - \tilde{h}_{s+1}) \dot{\pi}(F'). \end{aligned}$$

Then by using Lemma 2.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j,k=2}^n &\left([\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), \tilde{H}]] + [\dot{\pi}(E_{1j}), [\dot{\pi}(E_{k1}), \tilde{H}]] \right) \dot{\pi}(E_{jk}) v_s \\ &= 2 \left((\tilde{h}_{s-1} - \tilde{h}_s) s(\ell + k - s)(k - s - m - n + 1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\tilde{h}_{s+1} - \tilde{h}_s)(\ell - s)(n - k + s)(k - s - m - 1) \right) v_s. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=2}^n &[\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}] \dot{\pi}(E_{1k}) \dot{\pi}(E_{kj}) v_s \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}] \dot{\pi}(E_{11}) \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) v_s + \sum_{j,k=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}] \dot{\pi}(E_{1k}) \dot{\pi}(E_{kj}) v_s \\ &= (m + \ell - s + 1) \sum_{j=2}^n [\dot{\pi}(E_{j1}), \tilde{H}] \dot{\pi}(E_{1j}) v_s + (\tilde{h}_{s-1} - \tilde{h}_s) \dot{\pi}(F) v_s, \end{aligned}$$

by using (16) and Lemma 2.8 we obtain

$$= (\tilde{h}_{s-1} - \tilde{h}_s) s(\ell + k - s)(2m + \ell + n - k).$$

Now the proposition follows easily from Theorem 2.6. $\square$

3. HYPERGEOMETRIZATION

Let us introduce the change of variables $t = (1 + r^2)^{-1}$. Let $H(t) = \tilde{H}(r)$ and correspondingly put $h_s(t) = \tilde{h}_s(r)$. Then the differential operator of Proposition 2.7 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} (DH)_s(t) &= - \left(t(1-t)h_s''(t) + (m + \ell - s + 1 - t(n + m + \ell - s + 1))h_s'(t) \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{1-t}(\ell - s)(n + s - k)(h_{s+1}(t) - h_s(t)) \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t}{1-t}s(\ell - s + k)(h_{s-1}(t) - h_s(t)) \right), \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $s = 0, \dots, \ell$. In matrix notation we have

$$(25) \quad DH(t) = - \left(t(1-t)H''(t) + (A_0 - t(A_0 + n))H'(t) + \frac{1}{1-t}(B_0 + tB_1)H(t) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s + 1) E_{ss}, \\
 (26) \quad B_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\ell - s)(n + s - k) (E_{s,s+1} - E_{ss}), \\
 B_1 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} s(\ell - s + k) (E_{s,s-1} - E_{ss}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the differential operator E of Proposition 2.9 becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 (EH)_s(t) = & - \left(t(1-t)(m + \ell - s)h_s''(t) \right. \\
 & + (m + \ell - s)(m + \ell - s + 1 - t(m + \ell - s + n + 1))h_s'(t) \\
 & + (\ell - s)(n - k + s)h_{s+1}'(t) - s(\ell + k - s)t h_{s-1}'(t) \\
 & + \frac{1}{1-t} (\ell - s)(n - k + s)(m + s - k + 1)(h_{s+1}(t) - h_s(t)) \\
 & + \frac{1}{1-t} s(\ell - s + k)(m + n + s - k - 1)(h_{s-1}(t) - h_s(t)) \\
 & \left. - s(\ell - s + k)(2m + n + \ell - k)(h_{s-1}(t) - h_s(t)) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in (0, 1)$ and $s = 0, \dots, \ell$. In matrix notation we have

$$(27) \quad EH(t) = - \left(t(1-t)MH''(t) + (C_0 - tC_1)H'(t) + \frac{1}{1-t}(D_0 + tD_1)H(t) \right),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s) E_{ss}, \\
 C_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s)(m + \ell - s + 1) E_{ss} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\ell - s)(n - k + s) E_{s,s+1}, \\
 C_1 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s)(m + \ell - s + n + 1) E_{ss} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} s(\ell + k - s) E_{s,s-1}, \\
 D_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\ell - s)(n - k + s)(m + s - k + 1) (E_{s,s+1} - E_{ss}) \\
 &\quad - \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} s(\ell + k - s)(m + \ell - s + 1) (E_{s,s-1} - E_{ss}), \\
 D_1 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} s(\ell - s + k)(2m + \ell + n - k) (E_{s,s-1} - E_{ss}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us recall that if Φ is an irreducible spherical function of one-step K -type $\mathbf{k}$ as in (7), then the associated function $H(t) = (h_0(t), \dots, h_\ell(t))^t$, $0 < t < 1$ is an eigenfunction of the differential operators D and E .

Theorem 3.1. *Let $H(t) = (h_0(t), \dots, h_\ell(t))^t$, be the function associated to an irreducible spherical function Φ of one-step K -type $\mathbf{k}$. Then H is a polynomial eigenfunction of the differential operators D and E and $H(1) = (1, \dots, 1)^t$. Moreover if $m + \ell + 1 \leq s \leq \ell$, the function h_s is of the form*

$$(28) \quad h_s(t) = t^{s-m-\ell} g_s(t),$$

with g_s polynomial and $g(0) \neq 0$.

Proof. On the open subset $\mathcal{A}$ of G defined by the condition $g_{n+1, n+1} \neq 0$ we put $H(g) = \Phi(g)\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(g)^{-1}$. For any $-\pi/2 < \theta < \pi/2$ let us consider the elements

$$a(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & 0 & \sin \theta \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ -\sin \theta & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$$

and the left upper $n \times n$ corner $A(\theta)$ of $a(\theta)$.

Let π denotes the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\mathrm{U}(n)$ of highest weight $\mathbf{k}$. Since $A(\theta)$ commutes with $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ then $\pi(A(\theta))$ is a scalar in each $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ -submodule $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ of $V_{\mathbf{k}}$, see (9). Thus a highest weight vector of $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ as $\mathrm{U}(n-1)$ -module is a vector of the $\mathrm{U}(n)$ -module $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ of weight μ_s , see (10). Then $\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}(a(\theta)) = \pi(A(\theta))$ in each $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$ is equal to $\cos(\theta)^{m+\ell-s}$ times the identity. Also $\Phi(a(\theta))$ is a scalar $\phi_s(a(\theta))$ in each $V_{\mathbf{t}(s)}$.

The projection of $a(\theta)$ into $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ is $p(a(\theta)) = (\tan(\theta), 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Thus if we make the change of variables $r = \tan(\theta)$ and $t = (1 + r^2)^{-1} = \cos^2(\theta)$ we have

$$(29) \quad \phi_s(a(\theta)) = t^{(m+\ell-s)/2} h_s(t).$$

In [PT4] it is proved that $\phi_s(a(\theta)) = (\cos \theta)^{m+\ell-s} p_s(\sin^2 \theta)$ where p_s is a polynomial. Therefore $h_s(a(\theta)) = p_s(\sin^2 \theta)$ and $h_s(t) = p_s(1 - t)$. Thus $H = H(t)$ is polynomial, and it only remains to prove (28).

By taking limit when $t \rightarrow 0$ in (29) we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{(m+\ell-s)/2} h_s(t) = \phi_s(a(\pi/2)).$$

If $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x| = 1$, then

$$b(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in K$$

has the following nice property: $b(x)a(\pi/2) = a(\pi/2)b(x^{-1})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_s(b(x)a(\pi/2)) &= x^{m+\ell-s} \phi_s(a(\pi/2)), \\ \phi_s(a(\pi/2)b(x^{-1})) &= \phi_s(a(\pi/2))x^{-(m+\ell-s)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $s \neq m + \ell$ then $\phi_s(a(\pi/2)) = 0$, and we have obtained

- (i) if $s \neq m + \ell$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{(m+\ell-s)/2} h_s(t) = 0$,
- (ii) if $s = m + \ell$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{(m+\ell-s)/2} h_s(t) = \phi_s(a(\pi/2))$.

In particular if $m + \ell + 1 \leq s \leq \ell$ we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{(m+\ell-s)/2} h_s(t) = 0.$$

Hence $t = 0$ is a zero of h_s of order $k \geq 1$.

If $H(t) = \sum_j t^j H_j$ with column vector coefficients $H_j = (H_{0j}, \dots, H_{\ell,j})^t$, then from $DH = \lambda H$ we get that the following three term recursion relation holds for all j ,

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((j-1)(j-2) + (j-1)(A_0 + n) + B_1 - \lambda) H_{j-1} \\ & - (2j(j-1) + j(2A_0 + n) - B_0 - \lambda) H_j + (j+1)(j+A_0) H_{j+1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $m + \ell + 1 \leq s \leq \ell$ we have $h_s(t) = \sum_{j \geq k} t^j H_{s,j}$, with $H_{s,k} \neq 0$. If we put $j = k - 1$ from (30) we obtain

$$k(k + m + \ell - s) H_{s,k} = 0.$$

Hence $k = s - m - \ell$ which completes the proof of the theorem. $\square$

A particular class of matrix-valued differential operators are the hypergeometric ones which are of the form

$$(31) \quad t(1-t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + (C - tU) \frac{d}{dt} - V$$

where C, U and V are constant square matrices. These were introduced in [T2]. We observe that the differential operator appearing in (25), obtained from the Casimir of G , is closed to be hypergeometric but it is not.

Definition 3.2. A differential operator D is conjugated to a hypergeometric operator if there exists an invertible smooth matrix-valued function $\Psi = \Psi(t)$ such that the differential operator $\tilde{D}$ defined by $\tilde{D}F = \Psi^{-1}D(\Psi F)$ is of the form

$$\tilde{D} = t(1-t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + \tilde{A}_1(t) \frac{d}{dt} + \tilde{A}_0,$$

where $\tilde{A}_1(t)$ is a matrix polynomial of degree 1 and $\tilde{A}_0$ is a constant matrix.

The differential operator

$$-D = t(1-t) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + (A_0 - tA_1) \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{1-t} (B_0 + tB_1) H(t)$$

is conjugated to a hypergeometric operator if and only if there exists an invertible smooth matrix-valued function $\Psi(t)$ and constant matrices C, U, V , such that

$$(32) \quad 2t(1-t)\Psi(t)^{-1}\Psi'(t) + \Psi(t)^{-1}(A_0 - t(A_0 + n))\Psi(t) = C - tU$$

and

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} & t(1-t)\Psi(t)^{-1}\Psi''(t) + \Psi(t)^{-1}(A_0 - t(A_0 + n))\Psi'(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{1-t}\Psi(t)^{-1}(B_0 + tB_1)\Psi(t) = -V. \end{aligned}$$

A problem of this kind was first solved in [RT]. Motivated by the form of the solution found there, in [PT2] a solution of (32) and (33) is looked for among those functions of the form $\Psi(t) = XT(t)$, where X is a constant lower triangular matrix with ones in the main diagonal and $T(t) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq \ell} (1-t)^s E_{ss}$. Then it is proved that X , equal to the Pascal matrix, provides the unique solution to equations (32) and (33), and the following fact is established in Corollary 3.3 in [PT2].

Proposition 3.3. *Let $T(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} (1-t)^i E_{ii}$, let X be the Pascal matrix $X_{ij} = \binom{i}{j}$, and let $\Psi(t) = XT(t)$. Then $\tilde{D}F(t) = \Psi^{-1}(t)(-D)(\Psi(t)F(t))$ is a hypergeometric operator of the form*

$$\tilde{D}F(t) = t(1-t)F''(t) + (C - tU)F'(t) - VF(t)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s + 1)E_{ss} - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} sE_{s,s-1}, \quad U = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (n + m + \ell + s + 1)E_{ss}, \\ V &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} s(n + m + s - k)E_{ss} - \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (\ell - s)(n + s - k)E_{s,s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\tilde{E}$ be defined by $\tilde{E}F(t) = \Psi(t)^{-1}(-E)(\Psi(t)F(t))$. Then*

$$\tilde{E}F(t) = t(M_0 - tM_1)F''(t) + (P_0 - tP_1)F'(t) - (m - k)VF(t)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s)E_{ss} - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} sE_{s,s-1}, \quad M_1 = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s)E_{ss}, \\ P_0 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} ((m + \ell)(m + \ell + 1) + \ell(n - k) - 2s(n + m - k + s))E_{ss} \\ &\quad - \sum_{s=1}^{\ell} s(n - k + \ell + 2m + s)E_{s,s-1} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (\ell - s)(n - k + s)E_{s,s+1}, \\ P_1 &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (m + \ell - s)(m + n + \ell + s + 1)E_{ss} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (\ell - s)(n - k + s)E_{s,s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. A proof of the above proposition can be reached by a long, direct and careful computation. A shorter path would be as follows: to start by observing that the differential operator E^{PR} obtained from the differential operator E which appears in Section 3 of [PR], by making the change of variables $t = 1 - u$, it is given in terms of our $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ by $E^{PR} = 2(2m + \ell)\tilde{D} - 3\tilde{E}$.

4. THE EIGENVALUES OF D AND E

According to Proposition 1.1 and Lemma 2.1 the irreducible spherical functions Φ of our pair (G, K) are eigenfunctions of the differential operators Δ_P and Δ_Q , introduced in (4). In this situation the eigenvalues $[\Delta_P \Phi](e)$ and $[\Delta_Q \Phi](e)$ are scalars because the irreducible spherical functions are all of height one.

Let $\Phi = \Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ be the irreducible spherical function associated to the representations $\mathbf{m}$ of G and $\mathbf{k}$ of K ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k} &= (\underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_k, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k}), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}(w, r) &= (w + m + \ell, \underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_{k-1}, m + r, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k-1}, -w - r), \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq w, 0 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $-m - r \leq w$. See (7) and (8). Let us recall that Φ is an spherical function of type $\mathbf{k}$, because $s_{\mathbf{m}} = s_{\mathbf{k}}$.

Since the differential operators D and E come from Δ_P and Δ_Q respectively, (see (5)) the corresponding function $H(t) = H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(t)$ satisfies

$$DH^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} = [\Delta_P \Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}](e)H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}, \quad EH^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} = [\Delta_Q \Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}](e)H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}.$$

Now we will concentrate on computing the scalars $[\Delta_P \Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}](e)$ and $[\Delta_Q \Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}](e)$. We start by observing that if $\Delta \in D(G)^K$, then we have that

$$[\Delta \Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}](e) = \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta).$$

Let us recall that the Casimir operator of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is

$$\Delta^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{ij} E_{ji} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} E_{ii}^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (E_{ii} - E_{jj}) + 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} E_{ji} E_{ij}.$$

Thus the differential operator $\Delta_P = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} E_{n+1,j} E_{j,n+1}$, can be also written as

$$\Delta_P = \frac{1}{2} \left(\Delta^{(n+1)} - \Delta^{(n)} - E_{n+1,n+1}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} (E_{jj} - E_{n+1,n+1}) \right).$$

The highest weight of $V_{\mathbf{m}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathbf{m}} &= (w + m + \ell)x_1 + (m + \ell)(x_2 + \cdots + x_k) + (m + r)x_{k+1} \\ &\quad + m(x_{k+2} + \cdots + x_n) - (w + r)x_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n+1)}) &= ((w + m + \ell)^2 + (m + \ell)^2(k - 1) + (m + r)^2 + m^2(n - k - 1) \\ &\quad + (w + r)^2 + w(k - 1) + w + \ell - r + (w + \ell)(n - k - 1) + 2w + m + \ell + r \\ &\quad + (\ell - r)(k - 1) + \ell(k - 1)(n - k - 1) + (m + \ell + w + r)(k - 1) \\ &\quad + r(n - k - 1) + m + w + 2r + (m + w + r)(n - k - 1)) I_{\mathbf{m}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $v_0 \in V_{\mathbf{k}} \subset V_{\mathbf{m}}$ is a $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -highest weight vector, then its weight is

$$\mu_0 = (m + \ell)(x_1 + \cdots + x_k) + m(x_{k+1} + \cdots + x_n),$$

because

$$\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(E_{n+1,n+1})v_0 = \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n+1} E_{jj}\right)v_0 - \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}\left(\sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} E_{jj}\right)v_0 = (s_{\mathbf{m}} - s_{\mathbf{k}})v_0 = 0.$$

We also have that

$$\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n)})v_0 = (k(m + \ell)^2 + (n - k)m^2 + k(n - k)\ell)v_0$$

and therefore we obtain

$$\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_P)v_0 = (w(w + m + \ell + r + n) + r(m + r - k + n))v_0.$$

To compute $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_Q)$ we start by writing $\Delta_Q = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{n+1,i} E_{j,n+1} E_{ij}$ in terms of elements in $D(G)^G$ and $D(K)^K$.

Let us recall that in the proof of Lemma 2.8 we introduced the element

$$F^{(n)} = \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n} E_{ki} E_{ij} E_{jk}$$

which is in the center of the universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}(n, \mathbb{C})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} F^{(n+1)} - F^{(n)} &= \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n+1} E_{k,n+1} E_{n+1,j} E_{jk} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n+1}} E_{ki} E_{i,n+1} E_{n+1,k} \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{n+1,i} E_{ij} E_{j,n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j, k \leq n+1} E_{k,n+1} E_{n+1,j} E_{jk} &= \Delta^{(n+1)} + \Delta_Q + E_{n+1,n+1}^3 - (n+1)E_{n+1,n+1}^2 \\ &\quad + (2E_{n+1,n+1} - 1)\Delta_P, \\ \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq n+1}} E_{ki} E_{i,n+1} E_{n+1,k} &= \Delta^{(n)} - E_{n+1,n+1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} E_{ii} + \Delta_Q + E_{n+1,n+1} \Delta_P, \\ \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} E_{n+1,i} E_{ij} E_{j,n+1} &= n\Delta_P + \Delta_Q. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_Q &= \frac{1}{3} \left(F^{(n+1)} - F^{(n)} - \Delta^{(n+1)} - \Delta^{(n)} - (3E_{n+1,n+1} + n - 1)\Delta_P \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n+1)E_{n+1,n+1}^2 + E_{n+1,n+1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} E_{ii} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that $E_{n+1,n+1}v_0 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (34) \quad \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_Q)v_0 &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(F^{(n+1)}) - \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(F^{(n)}) - \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n+1)}) - \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n)}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n-1)\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_P) \right) v_0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that we have already calculated $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n+1)})v_0$, $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta^{(n)})v_0$, $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_P)v_0$ and also $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(F^{(n)})v_0$ in (24).

Since $F^{(n+1)}$ is $\mathrm{GL}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant it is enough to compute $\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(F^{(n+1)})$ on a highest weight vector $v_{\mathbf{m}}$ of $V_{\mathbf{m}}$; hence it is enough to write $F^{(n+1)}$ modulo the left ideal of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(n+1, \mathbb{C}))$ generated by $\{E_{ij} : 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$.

To rewrite the summand $E_{ki}E_{ij}E_{jk}$ of $F^{(n+1)}$ we partition the set of indices as we did in (21). This yields to

$$F^{(n+1)} \equiv \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n+1} E_{kk}^3 + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (E_{ii} - E_{jj})(2E_{ii} + E_{jj} + 2(n+1-j) + 1).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(F^{(n+1)}) &= ((w+m+\ell)^3 + (m+\ell)^3(k-1) + (m+r)^3 + m^3(n-k-1) \\ &\quad - (w+r)^3 + w(k-1)(2w+3m+3\ell+2n-k+1) \\ &\quad + (w+\ell-r)(2w+3m+2\ell+r+2n-2k+1) \\ &\quad + (w+\ell)(n-k-1)(2w+3m+2\ell+n-k+1) \\ &\quad + (2w+m+\ell+r)(w+2m+2\ell-r+1) \\ &\quad + (\ell-r)(k-1)(3m+2\ell+r+2n-2k+1) \\ &\quad + \ell(k-1)(n-k-1)(3m+2\ell+n-k+1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (m + \ell + w + r)(k - 1)(2m + 2\ell - w - r + 1) \\
& + r(n - k - 1)(3m + 2r + n + 1 - k) \\
& + (m + w + 2r)(2m + r - w + 1) \\
& + (m + w + r)(n - k - 1)(2m - w - r + 1))I_{\mathbf{m}}.
\end{aligned}$$

From (34), taking into account the previous calculations, we obtain after some careful computation

$$\dot{\pi}_{\mathbf{m}}(\Delta_Q)v_0 = (w(m + \ell - r)(w + m + \ell + r + n) + r(m - k)(m + r - k + n))v_0.$$

Therefore we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The function $H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}$ associated to the spherical function $\Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}$ satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned}
DH^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} &= (w(w + m + \ell + r + n) + r(m + r - k + n))H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}, \\
EH^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} &= (w(m + \ell - r)(w + m + \ell + r + n) + r(m - k)(m + r - k + n))H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

5. THE ONE-STEP SPHERICAL FUNCTIONS

5.1. The simultaneous eigenfunctions of D and E .

In Section 3 we introduced the differential operators $\tilde{D} = \Psi^{-1}(-D)\Psi$ and $\tilde{E} = \Psi^{-1}(-E)\Psi$. If we put $F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(t) = \Psi(t)H^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(t)$, from Proposition 4.1 we get

$$(35) \quad \tilde{D}F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} = \lambda(w, r)F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}, \quad \tilde{E}F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}} = \mu(w, r)F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(36) \quad \lambda(w, r) &= -w(w + m + \ell + r + n) - r(m + r - k + n), \\
\mu(w, r) &= -w(m + \ell - r)(w + m + \ell + r + n) - r(m - k)(m + r - k + n).
\end{aligned}$$

Since spherical functions are analytic functions on G it follows that $F^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(t)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of $t = 1$. Thus we make the change of variables $u = 1 - t$, so we will be dealing with analytic functions around $u = 0$ which are simultaneous eigenfunctions of the following differential operators,

$$\begin{aligned}
(37) \quad DF &= u(1 - u)F'' + (U - C - uU)F' - VF, \\
EF &= (1 - u)(M_0 - M_1 + uM_1)F'' + (P_1 - P_0 - uP_1)F' - (m - k)VF,
\end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient matrices are those given in Propositions 3.3 and 3.4.

The matrix-valued hypergeometric function was introduced in [T2]. Let W be a finite-dimensional complex vector space, and let A, B and $C \in \text{End}(W)$.

The hypergeometric equation is

$$(38) \quad u(1 - u)F'' + (C - u(I + A + B))F' - ABF = 0.$$

If the eigenvalues of C are not in $-\mathbb{N}_0$, we define the function

$${}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A; B \\ C \end{smallmatrix}; u\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!} (C; A; B)_m,$$

where the symbol $(C; A; B)_m$ is defined inductively by $(C; A; B)_0 = I$ and

$$(C; A; B)_{m+1} = (C + m)^{-1}(A + m)(B + m)(C; A; B)_m,$$

for all $m \geq 0$. The function ${}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A; B \\ C \end{smallmatrix}; u\right)$ is analytic on $|u| < 1$ with values in $\mathrm{End}(W)$. Moreover if $F_0 \in W$, then $F(u) = {}_2F_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} A; B \\ C \end{smallmatrix}; u\right)F_0$ is a solution of the hypergeometric equation (38) such that $F(0) = F_0$. Conversely any solution F , analytic at $u = 0$ is of this form.

In the scalar case the differential operator (31) is always of the form given in (38); after solving a quadratic equation we can find A, B such that $U = 1 + A + B$ and $V = AB$. This is not necessarily the case when $\dim(W) > 1$. In other words, a differential equation of the form

$$(39) \quad u(1-u)F'' + (C-uU)F' - VF = 0,$$

with $U, V, C \in \mathrm{End}(W)$, cannot always be reduced to the form of (38), because a quadratic equation in a noncommutative setting as $\mathrm{End}(W)$ may have no solutions. Thus it is also important to give a way to solve (39).

If the eigenvalues of C are not in $-\mathbb{N}_0$, let us introduce the sequence $[C, U, V]_m \in \mathrm{End}(W)$ by defining inductively $[C; U; V]_0 = I$ and

$$[C; U; V]_{m+1} = (C + m)^{-1}(m^2 + m(U - 1) + V)[C; U; V]_m,$$

for all $m \geq 0$. Then the function

$${}_2H_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} U; V \\ C \end{smallmatrix}; u\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{u^m}{m!} [C; U; V]_m,$$

is analytic on $|u| < 1$ and it is the unique solution of (39) analytic at $u = 0$, with values in $\mathrm{End}(W)$, whose value at $u = 0$ is I .

Let $F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ be the function defined by $F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(u) = F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(1 - t)$. Then the map $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}} \mapsto F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of irreducible spherical functions of $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ of a fixed K -type $\mathbf{k}$ as in (7), with certain simultaneous $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -analytic eigenfunctions on the open unit disc of the differential operators D and E given in (37).

Therefore

$$F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(u) = {}_2H_1\left(\begin{smallmatrix} U; V+\lambda \\ U-C \end{smallmatrix}; u\right) F^{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}(0),$$

with $\lambda = \lambda(w, r)$.

The goal now is to describe all simultaneous $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -valued eigenfunctions of the differential operators D and E analytic on the open unit disc Ω . We let

$$V_\lambda = \{F = F(u) : DF = \lambda F, F \text{ analytic on } \Omega\}.$$

Since the initial value $F(0)$ determines $F \in V_\lambda$, we have that the linear map $\nu : V_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ defined by $\nu(F) = F(0)$ is a surjective isomorphism. Because Δ_P and Δ_Q commute, both being in the algebra $D(G)^K$ which is commutative, D and E also commute. Moreover, since E has polynomial coefficients, E restricts to a linear operator of V_λ . Thus we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_\lambda & \xrightarrow{E} & V_\lambda \\ \nu \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1} & \xrightarrow{M(\lambda)} & \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1} \end{array}$$

where $M(\lambda)$ is the $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrix given by

$$\begin{aligned} M(\lambda) = & (M_0 - M_1)(U - C + 1)^{-1}(U + V + \lambda)(U - C)^{-1}(V + \lambda) \\ & + (P_1 - P_0)(U - C)^{-1}(V + \lambda) - (m - k)V. \end{aligned}$$

Although the matrix $M(\lambda)$ has a complicated form we are able to know its characteristic polynomial, via an indirect argument (cf. Theorem 10.3 in [GPT1]).

Proposition 5.1.

$$\det(\mu - M(\lambda)) = \prod_{r=0}^{\ell} (\mu - \mu_r(\lambda)),$$

where $\mu_r(\lambda) = \lambda(m + \ell - r) + r(m + r - k + n)(\ell - r + k)$. Moreover, all eigenvalues $\mu_k(\lambda)$ of $M(\lambda)$ have geometric multiplicity one. In other words all eigenspaces are one-dimensional. If $v = (v_0, \dots, v_{\ell})^t$ is a nonzero $\mu_r(\lambda)$ -eigenvector of $M(\lambda)$, then $v_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Let us consider the polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[\lambda, \mu]$ defined by $p(\lambda, \mu) = \det(\mu - M(\lambda))$. For each integer r such that $0 \leq r \leq \ell$ let $\lambda(w, r) = -w(w + m + \ell + r + n) - r(m + r - k + n)$. Then from (35) and (36) we have

$$p(\lambda(w, r), \mu_r(\lambda(w, r))) = 0,$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $0 \leq w$ and $0 \leq w + m + r$. Since there are infinitely many such w , the polynomial function $w \mapsto p(\lambda(w, r), \mu_r(\lambda(w, r)))$ is identically zero on $\mathbb{C}$. Hence, given r ($0 \leq r \leq \ell$), we have $p(\lambda, \mu_r(\lambda)) = 0$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Now $\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \mu_r(\lambda) = \mu_{r'}(\lambda) \text{ for some } 0 \leq r < r' \leq \ell\}$ is a finite set, in fact $|\Lambda| \leq \ell(\ell + 1)/2$. Since for any λ , $p(\lambda, \mu)$ is a monic polynomial in μ of degree $\ell + 1$, it follows that if $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} - \Lambda$, then

$$(40) \quad p(\lambda, \mu) = \prod_{r=0}^{\ell} (\mu - \mu_r(\lambda))$$

for all $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Now it is clear that (40) holds for all λ and all μ , which completes the proof of the first assertion.

To prove the last two statements of the proposition we point out that the matrix $M(\lambda) = A + B$, where A is a lower triangular matrix and

$$B = \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{(n + s - 1)(n + s + \ell)(s + k)}{(n + 2s - 1)(n + 2s)} E_{s, s+1}.$$

Therefore if $v = (v_0, \dots, v_{\ell})^t$ is a μ -eigenvector of $M(\lambda)$, then v_0 determines v , because the coefficients of B are not zero, and this implies that the geometric multiplicity of μ is one and that $v_0 \neq 0$. $\square$

In this way we have proved the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. *The simultaneous $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -valued eigenfunctions of the differential operators D and E analytic on the open unit disc Ω are the scalar multiples of*

$$F_r(u) = {}_2H_1 \left(\begin{matrix} U; V+\lambda \\ U-C \end{matrix}; u \right) F_r(0),$$

where $F_r(0) = (v_0, \dots, v_{\ell})^t$ is the unique $\mu_r(\lambda)$ -eigenvector of $M(\lambda)$ normalized by $v_0 = 1$, for some $0 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Notice that

$$DF_r = \lambda F_r \quad \text{and} \quad EF_r = \mu_r(\lambda) F_r.$$

5.2. Spherical functions.

We recall that we were interested to determine the irreducible spherical functions of $G = \mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ whose K -type ($K = \mathrm{U}(n)$) is of the form

$$\mathbf{k} = (\underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_k, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k}),$$

by giving an explicit expression of their restriction to the one-dimensional abelian subgroup A introduced in (2). At this point we have established the following characterization.

Theorem 5.3. *There is a bijective correspondence between the equivalence classes of all irreducible spherical functions of $\mathrm{SU}(n+1)$ of a K -type $\mathbf{k}$ as in (7), and the set of pairs $(\lambda(w, r), \mu(w, r)) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ where*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(w, r) &= -w(w + m + \ell + r + n) - r(m + r - k + n), \\ \mu(w, r) &= -w(m + \ell - r)(w + m + \ell + r + n) - r(m - k)(m + r - k + n), \end{aligned}$$

with w a nonnegative integer, $0 \leq r \leq \ell$ and $0 \leq w + m + r$. In particular $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(w, r), \mathbf{k}}$ can be obtained explicitly from the following vector-valued function

$$F_{w, r}(u) = {}_2H_1 \left(\begin{matrix} U; V + \lambda(w, r) \\ U - C \end{matrix}; u \right) F_{w, r}(0),$$

where $F_{w, r}(0)$ is the unique $\mu(w, r)$ -eigenvector of $M(\lambda(w, r))$ such that $F_{w, r}(0) = (1, v_1, \dots, v_\ell)^t$.

From the above theorem it follows that the pair of eigenvalues $[\Delta_P \Phi](e)$ and $[\Delta_Q \Phi](e)$ characterize the irreducible spherical functions of type $\mathbf{k}$ as in (7). This suggests that one should be able to prove that the algebra $D(G)^K$ is generated by Δ_P and Δ_Q modulo the two-sided ideal generated by the kernel in $D(K)$ of the representation $\hat{\pi}$ of highest weight $\mathbf{k}$.

Another consequence is that the map $(w, r) \mapsto (\lambda(w, r), \mu(w, r))$ is one-to-one on the set

$$S = \{(w, r) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} : 0 \leq w, 0 \leq r \leq \ell, 0 \leq m + w + r\},$$

which is not easy to see from the formulas. We will need the following generalization.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(w, r) \in S$ and let $(d, s) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $d, s > -1$. If $(\lambda(w, r), \mu(w, r)) = (\lambda(d, s), \mu(d, s))$, then $(w, r) = (d, s)$.*

Proof. Let us consider the algebraic plane curves

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, y) &= x(x + m + \ell + y + n) + y(m + y - k + n) + \lambda, \\ G(x, y) &= x(m + \ell - y)(x + m + \ell + y + n) + y(m - k)(m + y - k + n) + \mu, \end{aligned}$$

where we put $\lambda = \lambda(w, r)$ and $\mu = \mu(w, r)$. Then it is easy to check that F is irreducible and that F and G have no common component.

Now one can see that both curves meet at the following points:

$(w, r), (w, -r - w - n + k - m), (-w - m - r - \ell - n, r),$
 $(-w - m - r - \ell - n, k + \ell + w), (-\ell + r - k, k + \ell + w), (-\ell + r - k, -r - w - n + k - m).$
 These are six different points because $(w, r) \in S$ and $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Therefore by Bezout's theorem, see [F], these are the only points of intersection of F and G . But the only point of intersection with $x > -1$ and $y > -1$ is (w, r) . This completes the proof of the proposition. $\square$

5.3. The orthogonal functions $F_{w,r}$.

The aim of this subsection is to prove that the functions $F = F_{w,r}$ associated to the spherical functions $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(w,r), \mathbf{k}}$ are polynomial functions that are orthogonal with respect to a certain inner product.

Let us equip $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ with a K -invariant inner product. Then the L^2 -inner product of two continuous functions Φ_1 and Φ_2 with values in $V_{\mathbf{k}}$ is

$$\langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = \int_G \text{tr}(\Phi_1(g)\Phi_2(g)^*) dg,$$

where dg denotes the normalized Haar measure of G and $\Phi_2(g)^*$ denotes the adjoint of $\Phi_2(g)$.

In particular if Φ_1 and Φ_2 are two irreducible spherical functions of type $\mathbf{k}$, we write as above $\Phi_1 = H_1\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $\Phi_2 = H_2\Phi_{\mathbf{k}}$. Then by using the integral formula given in Corollary 5.12, p. 191 in [He] we obtain

$$(41) \quad \langle \Phi_1, \Phi_2 \rangle = \int_0^1 H_2^*(u)V(u)H_1(u) du$$

where $V(u)$ is the weight matrix

$$V(u) = 2n \sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \binom{\ell+k-r-1}{\ell-r} \binom{n-k+r-1}{r} (1-u)^{m+\ell-r} u^{n-1} E_{rr}.$$

In Theorem 3.3 we introduced the function Ψ

$$\Psi(u) = XT(u), \quad T(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell} u^i E_{ii} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \sum_{i,j} \binom{i}{j} E_{ij},$$

to conjugate the differential operator D to a hypergeometric operator $\tilde{D}$.

Therefore in terms of the functions $F_1 = \Psi^{-1}H_1$ and $F_2 = \Psi^{-1}H_2$ we have

$$\langle F_1, F_2 \rangle_W = \int_0^1 F_2(u)^* W(u) F_1(u) du,$$

where the weight matrix $W(u) = \Psi^*(u)V(u)\Psi(u)$ is given by

$$W(u) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{\ell} \left(\sum_{r=0}^{\ell} \binom{r}{i} \binom{r}{j} \binom{\ell+k-r-1}{\ell-r} \binom{n-k+r-1}{r} (1-u)^{m+\ell-r} u^{i+j+n-1} \right) E_{ij}.$$

We point out that the weight function $W(u)$ has finite moments of all orders if and only if $m \geq 0$. See [PT2] for some details.

Proposition 5.5. *The functions $F_{w,r}$ associated to irreducible spherical functions $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(w,r), \mathbf{k}}$ of type $\mathbf{k}$ are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $W(u)$, that is*

$$\langle F_{w,r}, F_{w',r'} \rangle_W = 0, \quad \text{if } (w,r) \neq (w',r').$$

Proof. The differential operators Δ_P and Δ_Q are symmetric with respect to the L^2 -inner product, among matrix-valued functions on G . Therefore the differential operators D and E are symmetric with respect to the inner product (41), among vector-valued functions on the interval $[0, 1]$. This implies that $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ are symmetric with respect to the inner product defined by the weight matrix $W(u)$.

Since the pairs (λ, μ) of eigenvalues of the symmetric operators $\tilde{D}$ and $\tilde{E}$ characterize these F 's, see Theorem 5.3, it follows that the F 's associated to irreducible spherical functions of type $\mathbf{k}$ are orthogonal with respect to this inner product. $\square$

Let L_W^2 denote the Hilbert space of all $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -valued functions on $[0, 1]$, squared integrable with respect to the inner product (41). Also let $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}[u]$ be the $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -valued polynomial functions.

Let us consider the following linear space,

$$(42) \quad \mathcal{V} = \{P \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}[u] : (\Psi P)_s = (1-u)^{s-m-\ell} g_s, \quad g_s \in \mathbb{C}[u], m+\ell+1 \leq s \leq \ell\}.$$

Observe that $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}[u]$ if and only if $m \geq 0$.

Lemma 5.6. *$\mathcal{V}$ is a linear subspace of L_W^2 . Moreover $\mathcal{V}$ is stable under the differential operator D .*

Proof. Let $P \in \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_W^2 &= \int_0^1 P^* \Psi^* V(u) \Psi P \, du = \int_0^1 (\Psi P)^* V(u) (\Psi P) \, du \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} \int_0^1 c_s (\Psi P)_s^* (\Psi P)_s u^{n-1} (1-u)^{m+\ell-s} \, du \end{aligned}$$

where $c_s = 2n \binom{\ell+k-s-1}{\ell-s} \binom{n-k+s-1}{s}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_W^2 &= \sum_{s=0}^{m+\ell} \int_0^1 c_s |(\Psi P)_s|^2 u^{n-1} (1-u)^{m+\ell-s} \, du \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=m+\ell+1}^{\ell} \int_0^1 c_s u^{n-1} (1-u)^{s-m-\ell} |g_s(u)|^2 \, du < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because Ψ , P and g_s are polynomial functions. Therefore $P \in L_W^2$.

The proof of the last statement is left to the interested reader. $\square$

Let us consider the closure $\bar{\mathcal{V}}$ of the subspace $\mathcal{V}$ in L_W^2 .

Proposition 5.7. *Let F be the $\mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}$ -valued function associated to an irreducible spherical function Φ of type $\mathbf{k}$. Then $F \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}$.*

Proof. The function $F = \Psi^{-1}H$ is analytic at $u = 1$ because H and Ψ^{-1} are analytic at $u = 1$. Hence

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-u)^j F_j, \quad F_j \in \mathbb{C}^{\ell+1}.$$

It is enough to prove that the partial sums $\sum_{j=0}^N (1-u)^j F_j$ are in $\mathcal{V}$, because F is analytic on $[0, 1]$. In other words, if $m+\ell+1 \leq s \leq \ell$ we need to show that $(\Psi \sum_{j=0}^N (1-u)^j F_j)_s = (1-u)^{s-m-\ell} g_s$, for some polynomial g_s .

We can write $\Psi(u) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \ell} (1-u)^k \Psi_k$, where the coefficients Ψ_k are $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrices. Then

$$(\Psi F)_s = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1-u)^j \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} (1-u)^k (\Psi_k F_j)_s = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (1-u)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{r, \ell\}} (\Psi_k F_{r-k})_s.$$

From Theorem 3.1 we have that $h_s = (\Psi F)_s$ is analytic in $[0, 1]$ and it has a zero of order at least $s - m - \ell$ at $u = 1$. Then we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\min\{r, \ell\}} (\Psi_k F_{r-k})_s = 0, \quad \text{for all } r < s - m - \ell.$$

Therefore

$$\left(\Psi \sum_{j=0}^N (1-u)^j F_j \right)_s = \sum_{r=s-m-\ell}^{N+\ell} (1-u)^r \sum_{k=0}^{\min\{r, \ell\}} (\Psi_k F_{r-k})_s,$$

and thus $\sum_{j=0}^N (1-u)^j F_j \in \mathcal{V}$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 5.8. *The function $F_{w,r}$ associated to the spherical function $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(w,r), \mathbf{k}}$ is a polynomial function.*

Proof. Set

$$\mathcal{V}_j = \{P \in \mathcal{V} : \deg P \leq j\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}_j$ is D -stable. Since D is symmetric $\mathcal{V}_j^\perp \cap \mathcal{V}_{j+1}$ is invariant under D , and there exists an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{V}_j^\perp \cap \mathcal{V}_{j+1}$ of eigenvectors of D . Then by induction on $j \geq 0$ it follows that there exists an orthonormal basis $\{P_i\}$ of $\mathcal{V}$ such that $DP_i = \lambda_i P_i$, $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}$.

By Proposition 5.7 $F \in \bar{\mathcal{V}}$. Therefore

$$F = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j P_j, \quad a_j = \langle F, P_j \rangle_W.$$

Since F is analytic on $[0, 1]$ the series converges not only in L_W^2 but also in the topology based on uniform convergence of sequences of functions and their successive derivatives on compact subsets of $(0, 1)$. Therefore

$$\lambda F = DF = D \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j P_j \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \lambda_i P_i,$$

and thus $a_i = 0$ unless $\lambda = \lambda_i$. Then

$$F = \sum_{\lambda=\lambda_i} a_i P_i,$$

and since $\dim V_\lambda < \infty$ we conclude that the function F is a polynomial. $\square$

Proposition 5.9. *The function $F_{w,r}$ is a polynomial function of degree w and its leading coefficient is of the form $F_w = (x_0, \dots, x_r, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ with $x_r \neq 0$.*

Proof. From Theorem 5.8 we have that $F = F_{w,r}$ is a polynomial function; let us say that it is of degree d and leading coefficient F_d . From Theorem 5.3 we know that F is an eigenfunction of the differential operators D and E , namely

$$DF = \lambda(w, r)F, \quad EF = \mu(w, r)F.$$

By looking at the leading coefficient of the polynomials in the above identities we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (d(d-1+U) + V + \lambda(w, r)) F_d &= 0, \\ (d(d-1)M_1 + dP_1 + (m-k)V + \mu(w, r)) F_d &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= d(d-1+U) + V + \lambda(w, r) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\lambda(w, r) - \lambda(d, s)) E_{ss} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (\ell-s)(n+s-k) E_{s, s+1}, \\ L_2 &= d(d-1)M_1 + dP_1 + (m-k)V + \mu(w, r) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} (\mu(w, r) - \mu(d, s)) E_{ss} + \sum_{s=0}^{\ell-1} (\ell-s)(n+s-k)(d-m+k) E_{s, s+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we observe that L_1 has a nontrivial kernel if and only if

$$\lambda(w, r) = \lambda(d, j) \quad \text{for some } 0 \leq j \leq \ell.$$

In this case, if $s = \min\{j : \lambda(w, r) = \lambda(d, j)\}$ and $0 \neq \mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_\ell) \in \ker(L_1)$, then $x_s \neq 0$ and $x_j = 0$ for $s+1 \leq j \leq \ell$; this is so because the elements above the main diagonal of L_1 are not zero.

Similarly, L_2 has a nontrivial kernel if and only if $\mu(w, r) = \mu(d, j)$ for some $0 \leq j \leq \ell$. Now the elements above the main diagonal of L_2 are all zero or all are not zero. In the first case since $F_d \in \ker(L_1) \cap \ker(L_2)$ we must have $\mu(w, r) = \mu(d, s)$. In the second case if $s' = \min\{j : \mu(w, r) = \mu(d, j)\}$ and $0 \neq \mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_\ell) \in \ker(L_2)$, then $x_{s'} \neq 0$ and $x_j = 0$ for $s'+1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Since $F_d \in \ker(L_1) \cap \ker(L_2)$ we must have $s = s'$. In any case we have $\lambda(w, r) = \lambda(d, s)$ and $\mu(w, r) = \mu(d, s)$.

From Proposition 5.4 we have that $(w, r) = (d, s)$. In particular $d = \deg(F_{w, r}) = w$ and the leading coefficient is of the required form. $\square$

6. MATRIX ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

From now on we shall assume that $m \geq 0$.

In this section we package appropriately the functions $F_{w, r}$ associated to the spherical functions $\Phi^{\mathbf{m}(w, r), \mathbf{k}}$ of one step K -type, for $0 \leq w$ and $0 \leq r \leq \ell$, in order to obtain families of examples of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials that are eigenfunctions of certain second-order differential operators.

It is important to remark that these examples arise naturally from the group representation theory, in particular from spherical functions.

The theory of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials, without any consideration of differential equations goes back to M. G. Krein, in [K1] and [K2]. Starting with a selfadjoint positive definite weight matrix $W = W(u)$, with finite moments we can consider the skew-symmetric bilinear form defined for matrix-valued polynomials P and Q by

$$\langle P, Q \rangle_W = \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(u)W(u)Q(u)^* du = 0.$$

By the usual construction this leads to the existence of sequences $(P_w)_{w \geq 0}$ of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials with non singular leading coefficients and $\deg P_w = w$.

Any sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $(P_w)_w$ satisfies a three-term recursion relation of the form

$$(43) \quad uP_w(u) = A_w P_{w-1}(u) + B_w P_w(u) + C_w P_{w+1}(u), \quad w \geq 0,$$

where we put $P_{-1}(u) = 0$.

In [D] the study of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials that are eigenfunctions of certain second-order symmetric differential operator was started. A differential operator with matrix coefficients acting on matrix-valued functions could be made to act either on the left or on the right. One finds a discussion of these two actions in [D]. The conclusion there is that if one wants to have matrix weights $W(u)$ that are not direct sums of scalar ones and that have matrix polynomials as their eigenfunctions, one should settle for right-hand side differential operators. We agree now that D given by

$$D = \sum_{i=0}^s \partial^i F_i(u), \quad \partial = \frac{d}{du},$$

acts on $P(u)$ by means of

$$PD = \sum_{i=0}^s \partial^i(P)(u) F_i(u).$$

One could make D act on P on the right as defined above, and still write down the symbol DP for the result. The advantage of using the notation PD is that it respects associativity: if D_1 and D_2 are two differential operators, we have $P(D_1 D_2) = (PD_1) D_2$.

We define the matrix polynomial P_w as the $(\ell+1) \times (\ell+1)$ matrix whose r -row is the polynomial $F_{w,r}(u)$, for $0 \leq r \leq \ell$. In other words

$$(44) \quad P_w = (F_{w,0}, \dots, F_{w,\ell})^t.$$

An explicit expression for the rows of P_w is given in Theorem 5.3, in terms of the matrix hypergeometric function, namely

$$F_{w,r}(u) = {}_2H_1 \left(\begin{matrix} U; V+\lambda(w,r) \\ U-C \end{matrix}; u \right) F_{w,r}(0),$$

where its value $F_{w,r}(0)$ at $u = 0$ is the $\mu(w, r)$ -eigenvector of $M(\lambda(w, r))$ properly normalized.

We notice that from Proposition 5.5 the rows of P_w are orthogonal with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_W$; then the sequence $(P_w)_w$ is orthogonal with respect to the weight matrix $W(u)$,

$$\langle P_w, P_{w'} \rangle_W = \int_0^1 P_w(u) W(u) P_{w'}(u)^* du = 0, \quad \text{for all } w \neq w'.$$

From Proposition 5.8 we obtain that each row of P_w is a polynomial function of degree w . A more careful look at the definition shows that P_w is a matrix polynomial whose leading coefficient is a lower triangular nonsingular matrix, see Proposition 5.9. In other words this sequence of matrix-valued polynomials fits squarely within Krein's theory and we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *The matrix polynomial functions P_w , whose r -row is the polynomial $F_{w,r}$, $0 \leq r \leq \ell$, form an sequence of orthogonal matrix polynomials with respect to W .*

In [D] a sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials is called classical if there exists a symmetric differential operator of order-two that has these polynomials as eigenfunctions with a matrix eigenvalue.

In the next proposition we show that the $(P_w)_w$ is a family of classical orthogonal polynomials featuring two algebraically independent symmetric differential operators of order two.

Proposition 6.2. *The polynomial function P_w is an eigenfunction of the differential operator D^t and E^t , the transposes of the operators D and E appearing in (37). Moreover*

$$P_w D^t = \Lambda_w(D^t) P_w, \quad P_w E^t = \Lambda_w(E^t) P_w,$$

where $\Lambda_w(D^t) = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda(w, 0), \dots, \lambda(w, \ell))$ and $\Lambda_w(E^t) = \mathrm{diag}(\mu(w, 0), \dots, \mu(w, \ell))$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} D^t &= \partial^2 u(1-u) + \partial(U^t - C^t - uU^t) - V^t, \\ E^t &= \partial^2(1-u)(M_0^t - M_1^t + uM_1^t) + \partial(P_1^t - P_0^t - uP_1^t) - (m-k)V^t, \end{aligned}$$

where the coefficient matrices are given explicitly in Propositions 3.3 and 3.4. Now we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} P_w D^t &= u(1-u)P_w'' + P_w'(U^t - C^t - uU^t) - P_w V^t \\ &= u(1-u)(F_{w,0}'', \dots, F_{w,\ell}'')^t + (F_{w,0}', \dots, F_{w,\ell}')^t (U - C - uU)^t \\ &\quad - (F_{w,0}, \dots, F_{w,\ell})^t V^t \\ &= (DF_{w,0}, \dots, DF_{w,\ell})^t = (\lambda(w, 0)F_{w,0}, \dots, \lambda(w, \ell)F_{w,\ell})^t \\ &= \Lambda_w(D^t) P_w, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda_w(D^t) = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda(w, 0), \dots, \lambda(w, \ell))$.

Similarly $P_w E^t = \Lambda_w(E^t) P_w$ where $\Lambda_w(E^t) = \mathrm{diag}(\mu(w, 0), \dots, \mu(w, \ell))$ and this concludes the proof. $\square$

In general given a matrix weight $W(u)$ and a sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials P_w one can dispense of the requirement of symmetry and consider the algebra of all matrix differential operators D such that

$$P_w D = \Lambda_w(D) P_w,$$

where each Λ_w is a matrix. See Section 4 of [GT]. The set of these D is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(W)$.

Starting with [GPT4], [GPT2], [G1] and [DG] one has a growing collection of weight matrices $W(u)$ for which the algebra $\mathcal{D}(W)$ is not trivial, i.e., does not consist only of scalar multiples of the identity operator. A first attempt to go beyond the issue of the existence of one nontrivial element in $\mathcal{D}(W)$ was undertaken in [CG], for some examples whose weights are of size two. The study of the full algebra, in one of this cases was considered in [T3].

6.1. The three-term recursion relation satisfied by the polynomials P_w .

The aim of this subsection is to indicate how to obtain, from the representation theory, the three term recursion relation satisfied by the sequence of matrix orthogonal polynomials $(P_w)_w$ built up from packages of spherical functions of the pair (G, K) . More details can be found in [PT1] for the case $n = 2$ and in [P2] for the general case.

The standard representation of $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is irreducible and its highest weight is $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$. Similarly the representation of $\mathrm{U}(n+1)$ on the dual of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$

is irreducible and its highest weight is $(0, \dots, 0, -1)$. Therefore we have that

$$\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = V_{(1,0,\dots,0)} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbb{C}^n)^* = V_{(0,\dots,0,-1)}.$$

For any irreducible representation of $U(n+1)$ of highest weight $\mathbf{m}$, the tensor product $V_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ decomposes as a direct sum of $U(n+1)$ -irreducible representations in the following way:

$$(45) \quad V_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \simeq V_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_1} \oplus V_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_{n+1}},$$

and

$$(46) \quad V_{\mathbf{m}} \otimes (\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^* \simeq V_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_1} \oplus V_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_{n+1}},$$

where $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n+1}\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, see [VK].

Remark 6.1. The irreducible modules on the right-hand side of (45) and (46) whose parameters $(m'_1, m'_2, \dots, m'_{n+1})$ do not satisfy the conditions $m'_1 \geq m'_2 \geq \dots \geq m'_{n+1}$ have to be omitted.

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_n)$ be the highest weight of an irreducible representation of $U(n)$ contained in the representation $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_{n+1})$ of $U(n+1)$. From (45) and (46), the following multiplication formulas are proved in [P2].

Proposition 6.3. *Let ϕ and ψ be, respectively, the one-dimensional spherical functions associated to the standard representation of G and its dual. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(g)\Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(g) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) \Phi^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{k}}(g), \\ \psi(g)\Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(g) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) \Phi^{\mathbf{m}-\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{k}}(g). \end{aligned}$$

The constants $a_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k})$ and $b_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k})$ are given by

$$(47) \quad \begin{aligned} a_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) &= \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (k_j - m_i - j + i - 1)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (m_j - m_i - j + i)} \right|^{1/2}, \\ b_i(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) &= \left| \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (k_j - m_i - j + i)}{\prod_{j \neq i} (m_j - m_i - j + i)} \right|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$(48) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} b_i^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) = 1.$$

By combining both multiplication formulas given in the previous theorem we obtain the following identity involving different spherical functions of the same K -type.

Corollary 6.4.

$$\phi(g)\psi(g)\Phi^{\mathbf{m},\mathbf{k}}(g) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n+1} a_j^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}) b_i^2(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{e}_j, \mathbf{k}) \Phi^{\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{e}_j-\mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{k}}(g).$$

In this section we are restricting our attention to spherical functions of type

$$\mathbf{k} = (\underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_k, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k}),$$

with $m \geq 0$. Thus we only consider $(n+1)$ -tuples $\mathbf{m}$ of the form

$$\mathbf{m}(w, r) = (w + m + \ell, \underbrace{m + \ell, \dots, m + \ell}_{k-1}, m + r, \underbrace{m, \dots, m}_{n-k-1}, -w - r),$$

for $0 \leq w$ and $0 \leq r \leq \ell$.

Now we introduce the rs -entries of the matrices A_w , B_w and C_w :

$$\begin{aligned} (A_w)_{rs} &= \begin{cases} a_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) & \text{if } s = r \\ a_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{k+1}) & \text{if } s = r + 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ (C_w)_{rs} &= \begin{cases} a_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_{d+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_1) & \text{if } s = r \\ a_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_1) & \text{if } s = r - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ (B_w)_{rs} &= \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n+1} a_j^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_j^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_j) & \text{if } s = r \\ a_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{k+1}) & \text{if } s = r + 1 \\ a_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))b_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) & \text{if } s = r - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r)) = a_i^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r), \mathbf{k})$, $b_i^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_j) = b_i^2((\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_j), \mathbf{k})$ for $1 \leq j \leq n+1$, see (47).

Notice that A_w is an upper-bidiagonal matrix, C_w is a lower-bidiagonal matrix and B_w is a tridiagonal matrix.

For the benefit of the reader we include the following simplified expressions, in the case of one-step K -type, of the coefficients involved in the definition of the matrices A_w , B_w and C_w :

$$\begin{aligned} a_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r)) &= \frac{(w+k)(w+\ell+n)}{(w+\ell-r+k)(2w+m+n+\ell+r)}, \\ a_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r)) &= \frac{(\ell-r)(r+n-k)}{(w+\ell-r+k)(w+m+n+2r-k)}, \\ a_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r)) &= \frac{(w+m+n+\ell+r-k)(w+m+r)}{(w+m+n+2r-k)(2w+m+n+\ell+r)}, \end{aligned}$$

all the others $a_j^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r))$ are zero. The remaining coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} b_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_1) &= \frac{(w+1)(w+\ell+k+1)}{(w+\ell-r+k+1)(2w+m+n+\ell+r+1)}, \\ b_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{k+1}) &= \frac{w(w+\ell+k)}{(w+\ell-r+k-1)(2w+m+n+\ell+r)}, \\ b_1^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= \frac{w(w+\ell+k)}{(w+\ell-r+k)(2w+m+n+\ell+r-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_1) &= \frac{r(\ell - r + k)}{(w + \ell - r + k + 1)(w + m + n + 2r - k)}, \\
b_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{k+1}) &= \frac{(r + 1)(\ell - r + k - 1)}{(w + \ell - r + k - 1)(w + m + n + 2r - k + 1)}, \\
b_{k+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= \frac{r(\ell - r + k)}{(w + \ell - r + k)(w + m + n + 2r - k - 1)}, \\
b_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_1) &= \frac{(w + m + n + \ell + r)(w + m + n + r - k)}{(w + m + n + 2r - k)(2w + m + n + \ell + r + 1)}, \\
b_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{k+1}) &= \frac{(w + m + n + \ell + r)(w + m + n + r - k)}{(w + m + n + 2r - k + 1)(2w + m + n + \ell + r)}, \\
b_{n+1}^2(\mathbf{m}(w, r) + \mathbf{e}_{n+1}) &= \frac{(w + m + n + \ell + r - 1)(w + m + n + r - k - 1)}{(w + m + n + 2r - k - 1)(2w + m + n + \ell + r - 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the open subset $\{a(\theta) \in A : 0 < \theta < \pi/2\}$ of A , we introduce the coordinate $t = \cos^2(\theta)$ and define on the open interval $(0, 1)$ the matrix-valued function

$$\Phi(w, t) = (\Phi_s^{\mathbf{m}(w, r), \mathbf{k}}(a(\theta)))_{0 \leq r, s \leq \ell}.$$

Proposition 6.5. *For $0 < t < 1$ and all integer $0 \leq w$ we have*

$$t\Phi(w, t) = A_w\Phi(w - 1, t) + B_w\Phi(w, t) + C_w\Phi(w + 1, t),$$

where we put $\Phi(-1, t) = 0$.

Proof. This result is a consequence of Corollary 6.4 and of the definitions of the matrices A_w, B_w, C_w , when we take $g = a(\theta)$.

We recall that $\phi(g)$ and $\psi(g)$ are the one-dimensional spherical functions associated to the G -modules $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})^*$, respectively. A direct computation gives

$$\phi(a(\theta)) = \langle a(\theta)e_{n+1}, e_{n+1} \rangle = \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(a(\theta)) = \langle a(\theta)\lambda_{n+1}, \lambda_{n+1} \rangle = \cos \theta.$$

Then $\phi(a(\theta))\psi(a(\theta)) = \cos^2(\theta) = t$. $\square$

Now we take into account that the polynomial functions P_w introduced in (44), are obtained by right-multiplying the function $\Phi(w, t)$ by a matrix function on t , independent of w . After the change of variable $t = 1 - u$ we obtain the following three term recursion relation for the polynomials P_w .

Theorem 6.6. *The polynomial functions $P_w(u)$ satisfy*

$$(1 - u)P_w(u) = A_wP_{w-1}(u) + B_wP_w(u) + C_wP_{w+1}(u),$$

for all $w \geq 0$, where the matrices A_w, B_w and C_w were introduced before.

This three term recursion relation can be written in the following way:

$$(49) \quad (1 - u) \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & C_0 & 0 & & \\ A_1 & B_1 & C_1 & 0 & \\ 0 & A_2 & B_2 & C_2 & 0 \\ & 0 & A_3 & B_3 & C_3 & 0 \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_0 \\ P_1 \\ P_2 \\ P_3 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

From (48) one can prove that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} ((A_w)_{rs} + (B_w)_{rs} + (C_w)_{rs}) = 1,$$

showing that the square semi-infinite matrix M appearing in (49) is an stochastic matrix.

In [GPT6] we describe a random mechanism based on Young diagrams that gives rise to a random walk in the set of all Young diagrams of $2n+1$ rows and whose $2j$ -th row has k_j boxes $1 \leq j \leq n$, whereby in one unit of time one of the m_i is increased by one with probability $a_i^2(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k})$ see (47).

In the expressions for D, E, W, P_w and M the discrete parameters (m, n) enter in a simple analytical fashion. Appealing to some version of analytic continuation, it is clear that this entire edifice remains valid if one allows $(m, n-1)$ to range over a continuous set of real values (α, β) . The requirement that W retain the property of having finite moments of all orders translates into the conditions $\alpha, \beta > -1$. We will denote the corresponding weight and the orthogonal polynomials by $W^{\beta, \alpha}$ and $P_w^{\beta, \alpha}$. These are some interesting families of new matrix valued Jacobi polynomials.

Acknowledgments.

This paper was partially supported by CONICET, PIP 112-200801-01533 and by Secyt-UNC.

REFERENCES

- [Bo] S. Bochner. Über Sturm-Liouvillesche Polynomsysteme, *Math. Z.* **29** (1929), 730–736.
- [CG] M. Castro and F. A. Grünbaum, The algebra of differential operators associated to a family of matrix-valued orthogonal polynomials: five instructive examples. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2006) Art. ID 47602.
- [DuiG] J. J. Duistermaat and F. A. Grünbaum, Differential equations in the spectral parameter. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 177–240.
- [D] A. J. Durán, Matrix inner product having a matrix symmetric second order differential operator. *Rocky Mount. J. Math.* **27** (1997), 585–600.
- [DG] A. J. Durán and F. A. Grünbaum, Orthogonal matrix polynomials satisfying second order differential equations, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004** 10 (2004), 461–484.
- [F] W. Fulton, *Algebraic curves*. The Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Inc., Reading Massachusetts, 1969, Mathematics Lecture Note Series.
- [G1] F. A. Grünbaum, Matrix valued Jacobi polynomials. *Bull. Sciences Math.* **127** 3 (2003), 207–214.
- [GV] R. Gangolli and V.S. Varadarajan, *Harmonic analysis of spherical functions on real reductive groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **101**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1988.
- [GPT1] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Matrix valued spherical functions associated to the complex projective plane, *J. Funct. Anal.* **188** (2002), 350–441.
- [GPT2] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Spherical functions associated to the three dimensional hyperbolic space, *Internat. Journal of Math.* **13** 7 (2002), 727–784.
- [GPT3] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, A matrix valued solution to Bochner’s problem, *J. Physics A: Math. Gen.* **34** (2001), 10647–10656.
- [GPT4] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, An invitation to matrix valued spherical functions: Linearization of products in the case of the complex projective space $P_2(\mathbb{C})$, *MSRI publication, Modern Signal Processing, D. Healy and D. Rockmore, editors.* **46** (2003), 147–160. See arXiv math. RT/0202304.

- [GPT5] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials of the Jacobi type, *Indag. Mathem.*, **14** (2003), 353–366.
- [GPT6] F. A. Grünbaum, I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Two stochastic models of a random walk in the $U(n)$ -spherical duals of $U(n+1)$. *To appear in Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, *AMPA*, (2011). See also arXiv:1010.0720v1 [math.RT].
- [GT] F. A. Grünbaum and J. Tirao, The algebra of differential operators associated to a weight matrix, *Integral Equations and Operator Theory* **58** 4 (2007), 449–475.
- [He] S. Helgason, *Groups and Geometric Analysis*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **83**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2000.
- [K1] M.G. Krein, Fundamental aspects of the representation theory of Hermitian operators with deficiency index (m, m) , *Ukrain. Math. Zh.* **1** (1949), 3–66; *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.* **97** (1970), 75–143.
- [K2] M.G. Krein, Infinite J-matrices and a matrix moment problem. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **69** (1949), 125–128.
- [P1] I. Pacharoni, Matrix spherical functions and orthogonal polynomials: An instructive example. *Rev. de la Unión Matem. Argentina* **49** 2 (2008), 1–15.
- [P2] I. Pacharoni, Three term recursion relation for spherical functions associated to the complex projective space, *preprint*, 2011.
- [PR] I. Pacharoni and P. Román, A sequence of matrix valued orthogonal polynomials associated to spherical functions, *Const. Approx.* **28** 2 (2008), 127–147.
- [PT1] I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Three term recursion relation for spherical functions associated to the complex projective plane, *Math Phys, Analysis and Geom.* **7** (2004), 193–221.
- [PT2] I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Matrix valued orthogonal polynomials arising from the complex projective space, *Const. Approx.* **25** 2 (2007), 177–192.
- [PT3] I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Three term recursion relation for spherical functions associated to the complex hyperbolic plane, *Journal of Lie Theory* **17** (2007), 791–828.
- [PT4] I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Matrix valued spherical functions associated to the complex projective space, *preprint*, 2012.
- [PT5] I. Pacharoni and J. Tirao, Spherical functions associated to $P_n(\mathbb{C})$ as matrix hypergeometric functions, *in preparation*, 2011.
- [RT] P. Román and J. Tirao, Spherical functions, the complex hyperbolic plane and the hypergeometric operator, *Internat. Journal of Math.* **17** 10 (2006), 1151–1173.
- [T1] J. Tirao, Spherical Functions, *Rev. de la Unión Matem. Argentina*. **28** (1977), 75–98.
- [T2] J. Tirao, The matrix-valued hypergeometric equation, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100** 14 (2003), 8138–8141.
- [T3] J. Tirao, The algebra of differential operators associated to a weight matrix: a first example, *XVIII Latin American Algebra Colloquium, Contem. Math.*, Amer. Math. Soc. **537** (2011), 291–324.
- [VK] N. Ja. Vilenkin, A. U. Klimik, *Representation of Lie Groups and Special Functions*, **3**. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.

CIEM-FAMAF, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE CÓRDOBA, 5000 CÓRDOBA, ARGENTINA

E-mail address: pacharon@famaf.unc.edu.ar, tirao@famaf.unc.edu.ar